

e-Qualitas

Education Placement Group/e-Qualitas, 4th Floor, Hend House, 233 Shaftesbury Ave, London WC2H 8EE

Inspection dates

31 January to 3 February 2022

Inspection judgements

	Early years ITT	Primary age-phase	Secondary age-phase
Overall effectiveness	Good	Inadequate	Inadequate
The quality of education and training	Good	Inadequate	Inadequate
Leadership and management	Good	Inadequate	Inadequate
Overall effectiveness at previous inspection	N/A	Good	Good

What is it like to be a trainee at this ITE provider?

Trainees in the early years phase feel well supported and prepared to undertake their teaching roles. The ambitious curriculum successfully develops their knowledge and readiness to teach.

However, in the primary and secondary phases, trainees' experiences are too variable. Programme leaders do not routinely check the quality or effectiveness of the support that partnership mentors and school-based mentors give trainees. Many trainees are not supported well enough. Trainees often feel overwhelmed by their workload. Some do not feel that the partnership takes suitable steps to consider and manage their workload or support their well-being.

In the primary phase, leaders do not ensure that trainees develop the knowledge they need to teach all national curriculum subjects. Trainees learn how to teach early reading. However, they receive insufficient guidance on how to assess pupils' phonics knowledge or how to select suitable reading books.

In the secondary phase, communication between the centrally delivered curriculum and the support and guidance provided in school placements is not effective. This prevents trainees from developing and applying the knowledge they need to teach their subject well.

The curriculum develops primary and secondary trainees' understanding of general classroom practice, including behaviour management. Trainees also learn the basics of safeguarding and how to plan for pupils with special educational needs and/or disabilities (SEND).

Information about this ITE provider

- The provider has 416 trainees spread over three phases: early years, and primary and secondary phases.
- There are 105 trainees in the early years phase, 127 in the primary phase and 184 in the secondary phase.
- All trainees in the early years phase are employment-based graduates.
- Trainees in the primary and secondary phases are on one of the following training routes: School Direct (salaried and fee paid), level 6 teacher apprenticeship; or Post Graduate Certificate in Education. The primary and secondary phases also offer the assessment-only route to suitable candidates.
- The provider has 249 partnership schools in the primary and secondary phases and 112 partner settings and schools in the early years phase. The majority of partnership schools inspected by Ofsted are judged to be good or better.

Information about this inspection

- The inspection was carried out by 10 of Her Majesty's Inspectors (HMI).
- Inspectors met with the chief executive officer, the programme leader, two deputy programme leaders, the curriculum management board, the management board, partnership lead tutors and tutors as well as school-based mentors.
- In all phases, inspectors spoke with headteachers and other leaders from schools and settings.
- Inspectors considered a range of documentation, including those related to leaders' improvement planning and curriculum organisation.
- Inspectors reviewed the responses to Ofsted's online surveys for trainees and staff.
- Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, some visits, meetings and discussions were carried out remotely. This included visits to schools. In the early years phase, inspectors visited 12 settings and spoke with 28 trainees. In the primary phase, inspectors visited 12 schools and met or spoke with 49 trainees. In the secondary phase, inspectors visited 11 schools and spoke with 23 trainees and 13 early career teachers. The total number of settings or schools visited or communicated with during this inspection, either on site or remotely, was 35. The 52 responses to the trainee survey were considered.
- In the early years phase, focused reviews were conducted in: early reading; early mathematics; communication and language; personal, social and emotional development (PSED); understanding the world; physical development; and expressive arts and design.
- In the primary phase, focused reviews were conducted in: early reading; English; history; mathematics; and science.
- In the secondary phase, focused reviews were undertaken in English; art; mathematics; science (physics); and religious education.

Early years phase report

What works well in the early years phase and what needs to be done better?

The early years curriculum is designed, led and implemented by an external provider that has expertise and experience in this phase. A partnership agreement is in place to formalise this arrangement. Programme leaders from both parties meet regularly to oversee and evaluate the quality of the programme. Together, they make sure that the curriculum prepares trainees well for their future teaching careers.

Programme leaders have designed an ambitious curriculum, with clear aims and expectations from the outset. They make sure that each part of the curriculum fits together, whether it is delivered online, in placement settings or through in-person training. Partnership leaders, tutors and school-based mentors adapt the training programme so that it is tailored to trainees' starting points and previous experiences. This approach supports trainees well. They are clear about what they need to learn and practise in order to teach in the early years phase.

The centrally delivered training programme is sequenced well. Programme leaders make sure that trainees apply what they have learned, for instance about teaching systematic synthetic phonics. Trainees receive the guidance they need to teach early reading and phonics confidently.

Trainees develop a secure understanding of how children learn about others and the world around them. Through the curriculum, trainees are taught how to plan sequences of learning, for instance in PSED. Trainees practise selecting books, resources and activities in order to support children to understand and remember important knowledge. One example of this is in mathematics, where trainees use outdoor games such as archery to reinforce early mathematical knowledge.

Programme leaders assess trainees' progress through the curriculum effectively. They provide well-planned additional support if a trainee is at risk of falling behind. Trainees value the experiences and support that they receive, both from the partnership and in their placement schools.

Programme leaders check that guidance from partnership tutors is helpful in developing trainees' practice. The partnership's quality assurance arrangements enable programme leaders to check that all statutory requirements are met, including those related to recruitment and the award of early years teacher status.

Programme leaders, partnership tutors and school-based mentors are knowledgeable about the early years phase. However, beyond the initial training, opportunities for school-based mentors to develop their expertise are limited. Sometimes, support from school-based mentors is not well targeted. As a result, this support does not contribute effectively to trainees' overall development and readiness to teach. Some school-based mentors have not

received appropriate ongoing training and information on how they can fulfil their roles. Programme leaders have already identified this as a priority for improvement. New training materials have already been developed. Nevertheless, this work remains at an early stage.

What does the ITE provider need to do to improve the early years phase?

- Leaders' work to strengthen the quality of school-based mentoring is at an early stage. Sometimes, mentors' support for trainees is not of the high quality that leaders expect. Leaders should provide mentors with further guidance and training and ensure that all mentors feel well equipped to support trainees' development. This includes checking that mentors have ready access to all relevant partnership training materials and resources.

Does the ITE provider early years phase comply with the ITE compliance criteria?

- The provider meets the Department for Education (DfE) statutory compliance criteria.

Primary phase report

What works well in the primary phase and what needs to be done better?

The quality of education and training provided varies too much from trainee to trainee. The curriculum is not planned to enable all trainees to develop their knowledge in a logical, coherent way. This is because programme leaders have not established which aspects of the curriculum should be delivered during trainees' school-based placements.

Programme leaders rely too much on the partnership schools taking responsibility for trainees' development of some essential knowledge and understanding. Programme leaders often leave it to partnership schools to identify and fill any gaps in trainees' understanding. This is because the partnership's ongoing assessment of trainees is weak. Some trainees receive a poor-quality experience and are not helped effectively to prepare for their future teaching careers.

Leaders' overall intention, to provide a high-quality and coherent programme, is not achieved consistently. This is because there are significant weaknesses in the curriculum. For example, although the training to teach foundation subjects provides a helpful introduction, it lacks subject-specific detail about what to teach. Consequently, some trainees have too little knowledge about the subject content of some national curriculum subjects and do not know how to plan a sequence of lessons. This is made worse by the complexity of the partnership's online system through which trainees access and complete training. Many trainees reported that they found this system 'overwhelming'.

The curriculum to develop trainees' expertise in teaching phonics also has weaknesses. It does not give all trainees enough specific detail to help them to become confident in helping pupils to apply their phonic skills to reading. Where trainees are training to teach in classes for pupils aged four to seven, training is supplemented by the schools in which they work. Trainees training to teach in classes with older primary-age pupils, however, do not have opportunities to develop their expertise in teaching phonics and reading. This means that these trainees are not prepared well to teach pupils at the early stages of learning to read.

The quality of support from visiting tutors and in-school lead trainers and mentors is too inconsistent. Some training and support based at school placements are not aligned with the central training. Frequently, trainees' second school-based placements do not provide a sufficiently contrasting experience. Although this is partly as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the partnership's lack of leadership capacity means that it is unable to mitigate this, or to monitor the work of schools rigorously enough. Key aspects of the partnership's work, such as quality assurance or the design of subject-specific curriculum content, have not been adequately developed.

Programme leaders use the core content framework (CCF) appropriately to set clear expectations. Some aspects of the centrally delivered training, which is provided online, enable trainees to build their knowledge and skills effectively. For example, the professional studies elements of the course, which include behaviour management and how to meet the needs of pupils with SEND, equip trainees with some essential pedagogical understanding

about teaching. This gives trainees the foundations on which to develop their general practice in the classroom.

With the support of the management board, leaders have begun to identify accurately some weaknesses in the quality of subject training or in the support given to trainees. There are some appropriate plans for improvement, for example to promote trainees' well-being. However, limited leadership capacity means that the plans for improvement are slow to be implemented.

Leaders ensure that the assessment-only route complies fully with all requirements.

What does the ITE provider need to do to improve the primary phase?

(Information for the provider and appropriate authority)

Leaders have not made sure that their aims for the curriculum are being put into practice. The curriculum is not as coherently sequenced as it should be. As a result, trainees are not prepared effectively for teaching the primary phase. Leaders need to ensure that training for the foundation subjects is suitably rigorous. It should contain enough specific content to ensure that trainees are ready to teach all subjects equally well. This includes ensuring that all trainees learn how to help pupils who are learning to read to apply their phonics knowledge. In addition, leaders need to consider how best to sequence the training so that trainees build up their cumulative knowledge and skills logically.

Trainees experience too many inconsistencies in the quality and quantity of support provided by visiting tutors and mentors. The ease with which trainees access the partnership's online tracking and information system is variable. While partnership tutors do much on an individual level to mitigate some of these weaknesses, senior leaders should ensure that procedures to quality assure trainees' experiences, especially the support they receive, are suitably rigorous and effective. In addition, they should make sure that all systems and procedures, including those that are online, are suitable and meet trainees' needs.

The lack of leadership capacity seriously impedes the partnership's ability to tackle these weaknesses successfully and in a timely manner. The partnership's leaders have not put in place suitable leadership arrangements following the substantial increase in the number of primary-phase trainees. There are too few leaders and, as a result, roles and responsibilities are stretched, slowing improvements. The partnership's leaders should ensure that the leadership team has sufficient capacity to carry out improvements. It also should ensure that roles and responsibilities are defined so that lines of accountability are clear.

Does the ITE provider primary phase comply with the ITE compliance criteria?

- The partnership does not meet the DfE statutory compliance criteria.

The partnership does not meet the following criteria:

- criterion C3.1, which requires ITT partnerships to ensure that their management structure ensures the effective operation of the training programme, and
- criterion C3.4, which requires ITT providers to monitor, evaluate and moderate all aspects of provision rigorously and demonstrate how these contribute to securing improvements in the quality of training and the assessment of trainees.

Secondary phase report

What works well in the secondary phase and what needs to be done better?

Leaders have not ensured that trainees across all subjects in the secondary phase experience an ambitious ITE curriculum. In English, mathematics and science, trainees gain limited subject-specific knowledge. They receive minimal input in how to teach their subject. In subjects such as economics and art, there is no specific training. Instead, trainees receive booklets that outline how to teach the subject. These are basic and trainees find them unhelpful. This lack of subject-specific training means that trainees often rely on filling gaps in their knowledge themselves, for instance through seeking out support from colleagues in school.

The ITE 'mastery' curriculum introduces trainees to important pedagogical content that equips them for classroom teaching. For example, they learn about behaviour management and how to plan sequences of lessons. This programme is fully compliant with the requirements of the CCF. Leaders have given some thought to the sequencing of this training. However, many trainees reported that the sessions repeat what they have already learned in their school placements. Because of the dual demands of teaching and the training programme itself, they find it difficult to complete the reading required in advance for this training. This adds to trainees' workload and causes them to feel 'overwhelmed'. Leaders have plans to improve support for trainees' well-being, but these have not been implemented.

In the secondary phase, leaders do not work well with partnership schools to design and implement the ITE curriculums. Senior leaders in schools have little information about the central programme. This prevents them from helping trainees to apply and build on their previous learning. Partnership leaders have not made sure that school-based mentors and partnership staff, such as subject tutors, understand how the different aspects of training link together. This means that trainees do not have access to a well-sequenced and coherent curriculum.

The lack of leadership capacity means that systems for quality assurance are not robust or implemented effectively. Too much is left to chance. For example, many trainees reported variations in the amount of support they had received from school-based mentors. Some mentors keep a close eye on what their trainees have covered in the centrally delivered mastery and subject training. They work with trainees in school to ensure that this training is fully integrated into classroom practice. Other mentors do not have the confidence or the time to carry out their role as effectively. There are similar variations in the quality of the partnership's subject tutoring. Some is supportive and knowledgeable, but other tutoring lacks subject expertise. Where support from tutors is not strong, trainees are left to their own devices or must turn to school staff for additional support.

Trainees are assessed by school-based mentors and the partnership's subject tutors. However, school-based mentors often struggle to measure trainees' progress in learning

the taught ITE curriculum. This is because partnership leaders have not made them fully aware of the essential knowledge that trainees need to learn and apply. In some cases, the teachers' standards are unhelpfully used to set targets for trainees at the end of each term.

Leaders ensure that the assessment-only route complies fully with all requirements.

What does the ITE provider need to do to improve the secondary phase?

(Information for the provider and appropriate authority)

Leaders do not have ambitious and coherent subject curriculums in place. This means that trainees are not well prepared to teach their subjects specialism. Leaders should ensure that they plan and implement well-sequenced and ambitious subject-specific curriculums that prepare trainees to teach their subject.

The different aspects of the centre-based ITE curriculum are not integrated with the training delivered by schools. This prevents schools from aligning their training programmes with those provided at the centre. Mentoring does not typically consolidate what trainees learn in the centrally delivered subject and mastery curriculums. Leaders must improve communication with school-based staff so that centrally delivered and school-based training programmes align more closely, and in turn, enable trainees to develop readiness to teach step by step. This includes ensuring that school-based mentors receive the training and guidance they need to carry out their roles and responsibilities.

Quality assurance processes lack rigour. Leaders have an overly positive view of the quality of training that they provide. Trainees' experiences are too variable as a result. Leaders must review and improve quality assurance systems. This will allow them to evaluate and then improve the quality of ITE training, as well as the support for trainees.

Does the ITE provider at secondary phase comply with the ITE compliance criteria?

- The partnership does not meet the DfE statutory compliance criteria.

The partnership does not meet the following criteria:

- criterion C3.1, which requires ITT partnerships to ensure that their management structure ensures the effective operation of the training programme, and
- criterion C3.4, which requires ITT providers to monitor, evaluate and moderate all aspects of provision rigorously and demonstrate how these contribute to securing improvements in the quality of training and the assessment of trainees.

ITE provider details

Unique reference number	70197
Inspection number	10216356

This inspection was carried out by Her Majesty's Inspectors (HMI) in accordance with the 'Initial teacher education inspection framework and handbook'.

This framework and handbook set out the statutory basis and framework for initial teacher education (ITE) inspections in England from September 2020.

Type of ITE provider	SCITT/level 6 apprenticeship provider
Phases provided	Early years Primary Secondary
Date of previous inspection	3 to 6 December 2012

Inspection team

Ruth Dollner, Overall lead inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Alison Colenso, Phase lead inspector (early years)	Her Majesty's Inspector
Brian Oppenheim, Phase lead inspector (primary)	Her Majesty's Inspector
Lisa Strong, Phase lead inspector (secondary)	Her Majesty's Inspector
Gary Rawlings, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Jean Thwaites, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Mark Smith, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Jude Wilson, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Adam Vincent, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector
Aliki Constantopoulou, team inspector	Her Majesty's Inspector

Annex: Provider settings, schools and colleges

Inspectors contacted trainees and staff at the following settings and schools, as part of this inspection:

Name	URN	ITE phase	Date joined	Current Ofsted grade
St Anthony's Catholic Primary School	138025	Early years	September 2021	Not previously inspected
Early Foundations Day Nursery	550090	Early years	September 2021	Good
Dorothy Gardner Nursery School	101105	Early years	September 2021	Requires Improvement
Date Palm Primary School	139221	Early years	September 2021	Good
Coconut Nursery	144566	Early years	September 2021	Good
N Family Club Church Street	2572925	Early years	September 2021	Registration
St Peter and St Paul Primary School	100447	Early years	September 2021	Good
Nursery Days	EY355428	Early years	September 2021	Registration
GroWild Kindergarten	2548930	Early years	September 2021	Registration
Nurture Pre-school at Abbotsweld	2639641	Early years	September 2021	Good
Great Finborough Church of England Voluntary Controlled Primary School	124732	Early years	September 2021	Good
Snapdragons Nursery and Out of School Club Corsham	293287	Early years	September 2021	Good
Concordia Academy	142885	Primary	April 2021	Outstanding
Seven Sisters Primary School	132253	Primary	April 2021	Outstanding
Oasis Academy Ryelands	140674	Primary	October 2019	Good
The Vineyard School, Richmond	102906	Primary	April 2021	Outstanding
Broadfields Primary School	140236	Primary	September 2021	Good
London Academy	134798	Primary	August 2020	Good
Bandon Hill Primary School	148776	Primary	August 2020	Good
Queen Elizabeth II Jubilee School	101184	Primary	September 2019	Good
Crosslee Community Primary School	105412	Primary	September 2021	Good
Kelvin Grove Primary School	100690	Primary	January 2021	Good

Sidegate Primary School	140822	Primary	September 2021	Good
Montgomery Primary School	113082	Primary	September 2020	Good
Forest Gate Community School	143274	Secondary	September 2020	Outstanding
Mulberry Academy Shoreditch	137789	Secondary	September 2018	Outstanding
All Saints Catholic College	100503	Secondary	September 2019	Good
Cumberland Community School	145113	Secondary	December 2020	Good
Chiswick School	137907	Secondary	June 2019	Good
The Cardinal Vaughan Memorial Roman Catholic School	141931	Secondary	September 2014	Outstanding
Blundell's School	878/6012 (DfE number)	Secondary	January 2019	Excellent (Independent Schools Inspectorate)
The Suthers School	144768	Secondary	June 2021	Not previously inspected
Essa Academy	135770	Secondary	September 2020	Good
St Gregory's	142560	Secondary	September 2017	Outstanding
Little Lever School	142296	Secondary	June 2021	Requires Improvement

Any complaints about the inspection or the report should be made following the procedures set out in the guidance 'Raising concerns and making a complaint about Ofsted', which is available from Ofsted's website: www.gov.uk/government/publications/complaints-about-ofsted. If you would like Ofsted to send you a copy of the guidance, please telephone 0300 123 1231, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

The Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted) regulates and inspects to achieve excellence in the care of children and young people, and in education and skills for learners of all ages. It regulates and inspects childcare and children's social care, and inspects the Children and Family Court Advisory and Support Service (Cafcass), schools, colleges, initial teacher training, further education and skills, adult and community learning, and education and training in prisons and other secure establishments. It assesses council children's services, and inspects services for children looked after, safeguarding and child protection.

If you would like a copy of this document in a different format, such as large print or Braille, please telephone 0300 123 1231, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

You may reuse this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence, write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This publication is available at www.reports.ofsted.gov.uk.

Interested in our work? You can subscribe to our monthly newsletter for more information and updates: <http://eepurl.com/iTrDn>.

Piccadilly Gate
Store Street
Manchester
M1 2WD

T: 0300 123 1231
Textphone: 0161 618 8524
E: enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk
W: www.gov.uk/ofsted

© Crown copyright 2022