Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)



Please Select LEA:

Barking & Dagenham

Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to make further improvement
School Improvement	1.9	1.6	2.0
SEN	4.0	2.0	3.0
Social Inclusion	3.4	3.3	3.0
Life Long Learning	4.0	3.0	3.0
Strategic Management	2.0	•	3.3
Average Score	2.9	2.2	2.7
Category ¹	**	***	

Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38
 - 2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38
 - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35
 - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than $\ensuremath{\mathsf{3.75}}$

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Barking & Dagenham LEA (v.7)

Current Performance Improvement Capacity

	Indicator Data Sc	re ¹ Indicator Data Score ³	Indicator Data Score 1
School Improvement	Percentage of schools in special measures 0.0 2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ^{2 (0.5)} 26.4 2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score ^{2 (0.1)} 31.5 2001 KS3 English Average Point Score ^{2 (0.1)} 31.5 2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score ^{2 (0.1)} 31.5 2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score ^{2 (0.1)} 31.5 2001 GCSE Average Point Score ^{2 (0.1)} 35.1 JRS 14 - Support to schools for raising standards in curriculum us 2 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 2 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) 2	Percentage of special measures primary schools in > 18 months ² Percentage of special measures secondary schools in > 24 monti 1999-2001 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (tb.)} 0.5 2 1999-2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (tb.)} 0.5 2 1999-2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (tb.)} 0.5 1	Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficien 77.9 4 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities 4 3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school ir 2 1 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 2 1 EDP Grade 2 1 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.0
Special Educational Needs	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first 38.8 time within 18 weeks	JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school imj 3 2	Indicator Data Score ¹ JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 4 3
Spr	AVERAGE SCORE =	0 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.0	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Social Inclusion	Indicator Data Sc 2002 Primary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5) 93.0 2002 Secondary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5) 89.6 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 0.0 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 95.1 JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behaviour 4 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 2	2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5)} 0.0 2 2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5)} -0.2 3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated intc	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl 4 3 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 3 2 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 84.2 4
	AVERAGE SCORE =	4 AVERAGE SCORE = 3.3	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Life Long Learning	Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stag. 44.4	Indicator Data Score	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support c 2 1 Percentage of EY settings on a 4-2yr OFSTED inspection cycle 66.7 5 AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Strategic Management of Education	JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 3		Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 2 1 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve. 5 4 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 45.6 5
Strate	AVERAGE SCORE =	AVERAGE SCORE =	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.3
Notes:	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE =	9 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 22	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' 10% of LEAs are scored as 1, the next 20% as 2, the middle 40% as 3, the next 20% as 4, and the 'worst' 10% are scored as 5.

^{2.} Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.

3. If the corresponding 'performance' indicator is scored at 1, variable is also automatically given a score of 1.