# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Barking & Dagenham LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	1.92	2.54	2.00	
SEN	3.50	2.00	3.00	
Social Inclusion	3.60	3.00	2.67	
Life Long Learning	4.50	-	1.00	
Strategic Management	2.00	-	3.33	
Average Score	2.86	2.65	2.46	
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Barking & Dagenham LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

School Improvement (1)	Label         Indicator         Data           1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         0.00           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.18           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.43           1_A4         2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 98.50           1_A5         2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 98.40           1_A6         JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT         2           1_A7         JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         2           1_A8         JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)         2	Score <sup>1</sup> 1  4  3  5  3  1  1  1	Label         Indicator         Data           1_B1         2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> -3.0           2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding)         1_B2           1_B2         inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 0.00           1_B3         2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.00           1_B4         2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.13           1_B5         2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.20           1_B6         2000-2002 KS3 Sa Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.26           1_B7         2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.60           1_B8         2000-2002 CSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.80           1_B9         JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement         3           1_B10         2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup>	5 1 1 5 3 3 5 5	Label Indicator  1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities  1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement  1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement  1_C5 EDP Grade	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 75.44         4           4         3           2         1           2         1           2         1
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Indicator Data  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup> 62.77  AVERAGE SCORE =	Score <sup>1</sup> 4 3	Label         Indicator         Data           2_B1         JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement         3   AVERAGE SCORE	Score 1 2	AVERAGE SC  Label Indicator  2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN  AVERAGE SC	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 4         3
Social Inclusion (3)	Label         Indicator         Data           3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 93.26           3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 90.56           3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 16.67           3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 92.85           3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour         4           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         2	Score <sup>1</sup> 4 4 5 5 1 3 1	Label         Indicator         Date           3_B1         2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5), 3         0.34           3_B2         2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5), 3         0.66           3_B3         Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools 3         14.2           3_B4         2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend 3         -2.4	1 1 9 3 3 5	Label         Indicator           3_C1         JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion           3_C2         JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism           3_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup> AVERAGE SC	Data Score 1 4 3 3 2 91.07 3
Life Long Learning (4)	Label     Indicator     Data       4_A1     16-17 year old participation rates in education and training     66.00       4_A2     Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage     43.33       AVERAGE SCORE = [	Score <sup>1</sup> 5 4 4.50	Label Indicator Data  AVERAGE SCORE	Score 1	Label         Indicator           4_C1         JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities   AVERAGE SC	Data Score 1 2 1  ORE = 1.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label     Indicator     Data       5_A1     JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA     3       5_A2     JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making     3       5_A3     JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)     2       5_A4     JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5)     4   AVERAGE SCORE =	Score <sup>1</sup> 2 2 1 3	Label Indicator Data  AVERAGE SCORE	Score 1	Label Indicator  5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve  5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement  5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup> AVERAGE SC	Data Score 1 2 1 5 4 51.79 5  ORE = 3.33
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.86 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.65		OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.46			

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea