## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)**



Pl	eas	se	Se	lect	LEA:
----	-----	----	----	------	------

Bexley

Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of	Capacity to make further
	•	improvement	improvement
School Improvement	3.2	2.3	2.4
SEN	2.0	3.0	3.0
Social Inclusion	3.0	3.2	3.0
Life Long Learning	2.7	3.0	2.5
Strategic Management	2.5	-	2.7
Average Score	2.8	2.7	2.6
Category <sup>1</sup>	**	***	

## Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38
  - 2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Bexley LEA (v.7)

Improvement

Capacity

_			
School Improvement	Indicator         Data Percentage of schools in special measures         3.2         5           2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ² (0.5)         27.5         2           2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ² (0.5)         26.8         3           2001 KS3 English Average Point Score ² (0.11)         33.9         2           2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score ² (0.11)         34.8         3           2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score ² (0.11)         33.3         3           2001 GCSE Average Point Score ² (0.01)         39.4         3           JRS 14 - Support to schools for raising standards in curriculum us         5         4           JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         3         2           JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)         0         3           AVERAGE SCORE =         3.2	Indicator         Data         Score ¹           Percentage of special measures primary schools in > 18 months²         0.0         1           Percentage of special measures secondary schools in > 24 monti         0.0         1           1999-2001 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         0.5         2           1999-2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         0.2         2           1999-2001 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         0.4         3           1999-2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         0.4         4           1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         0.9         3           1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend²(LD)         -0.2         5           JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement         3         2           1999-2001 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools<=25% in 15         3.3         2   AVERAGE SCORE = 23	Indicator   Data   Score   Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficien   75.6   4   JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities   4   3   JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school ir   3   2   JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement   3   2   EDP Grade   2   1
Special Educational Needs	Indicator     Data     Score 1       JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations     2     1       Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks     73.9     3	Indicator  JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school imj 4 3  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0	Indicator  JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Social Inclusion	Indicator         Data Score 1           2002 Primary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5)         93.9         3           2002 Secondary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5)         90.9         3           2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)         69.2         2           2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage         96.6         3           JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behaviour         5         4           JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         4         3	Indicator         Data         Score 1           2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5)         -0.1         3           2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5)         0.1         2           Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated int.         6.7         3           1999-2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G PC Trend         -0.5         4	Indicator  JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl 3 2  JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 4 3  Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 88.5 4
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.2	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Life Long Learning	Indicator         Data         Score 1           Staying on rates post 16 for education and training         71.0         3           BV158 - Adult Education Hours         79.0         3           Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stag.         60.5         2    AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7	Indicator	Indicator  Data Score 1  JRS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support c 2 1  Percentage of EY settings on a 4-2yr OFSTED inspection cycle 83.6 4  AVERAGE SCORE = 2.5
Strategic Management of L Education	Indicator  JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA  JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making  JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0, 1)</sup> JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions)  AVERAGE SCORE = 2.5	Indicator  Data Score   AVERAGE SCORE =	Indicator  JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve  JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve. 3 2 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall  AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.8	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.6

**Current Performance** 

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' 10% of LEAs are scored as 1, the next 20% as 2, the middle 40% as 3, the next 20% as 4, and the 'worst' 10% are scored as 5.

Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
 If the corresponding 'performance' indicator is scored at 1, variable is also automatically given a score of 1.