Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Blackpool LEA



OVERALL RATING

3 star	Proven	Secure
Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category ²	3 star	Proven	Secure
Overall Average Score ¹	2.36	2.44	2.15
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Sum of the Weighted scores	42.50	22.00	28.00
	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

- 1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3

'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Blackpool LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1
	1_A1 1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)* 2.50 3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* -3.13 1	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 81.58 3
ent (1)	1_A2	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend 0.00 1 (2002 to 2004)*	1_C2 1 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities 2 1
chool Improvement	1_A3	1_B3	1_C3 1 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement 4 3 1_C4 1 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 3 2
ð	1_A4	1_B5	1 C5 1 EDP Grade 2 1
횯	1_A6 1 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 2 1	1_B6	1,500
트	1_A7 1 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 2 1	1_B7	
8	1_A8	1_B8	
5		1_B9 1 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 4 3	
0)		1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)* 3.93 2	
	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score
_ = =	2 A1 1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 2 1	2 B1 1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement 2 1	2 C1 1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 2 1
n al	2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks* 82.90 2		- "
Spe tio			
nca	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.00
ᇳ	7/12/3/32 333/12 = 1.30	711210102 000112 = <u>1.00</u>	///
⊕	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate* 93.97 3	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 3 B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.48 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 3 C1 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion 2 1
Ē	3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate* 93.97 3 3_A2 0.5 2004 Secondary Attendance Rate* 90.22 4	3 B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.50 1	3_C2 1 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 3 2
sic	3_A3 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)* 50.00 3	3_B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools* 17.62 2	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 84.21 5
킁	3_A4	3_B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.18 3	
드	3_A5		
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A6 1 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 3 2		
S	AVED 405 000DE 2	N/FD10F 000PF ²	11/50105 000D5 ²
	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.70	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.67
Life Long Learning (4)	Label Weights Indicator Data Score		Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1
e 5	4_A1 1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 72.00 4	No Indications	4_C1 1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities 4 3
] E	4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 62.50 2		
a ⊑	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE = 1	AVERAGE GOOKE = 0.00
ی و	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 5 A1 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 3 2	Label Weights Indicator Data Score No Indicators	
n it i	5_A1 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 3 2 5_A2 1 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 3 2	NO Indications	5_C1 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 2 1 5_C2 1 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 3 2
ti ji ji	5_A2 1 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 3 2 5_A3 0.5 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 1		5_C2 1 JRS / - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 3 2 5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 73.68 3
fra age Ica	5 A4 0.5 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 1		70.00 S
Strategic Management of Education (5)			
≥	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.67	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.3	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.4	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.15
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.3	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.4	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.15

Notes:

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights
- 3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weighted.
- PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.
- 4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea
- * = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores
- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004