# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Brent LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	2.58	3.33	3.00
SEN	3.00	3.00	3.00
Social Inclusion	2.70	3.67	2.67
Life Long Learning	3.50	-	2.00
Strategic Management	1.67	-	2.67
Average Score	2.61	3.41	2.77
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Not Proven	Secure

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Brent LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

School Improvement (1)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score           1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         4.05         4           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score ² (0.5), 3         26.67         3           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score ² (0.5), 3         27.15         2           1_A4         2002 KS2-KS3 VA ² (0.5)         99.70         3           1_A5         2002 KS3-GCSE VA ² (0.5)         100.00         1           1_A6         JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT         4         3           1_A7         JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         4         3           1_A8         JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)         2         1	Label         Indicator         Data         Score *           1_B1         2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend * (0.5)         -3.33         1           2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding         1_B2         inadequate sixth forms) Trend * (0.5)         -0.27         3           1_B3         2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend * (0.5), 3         -0.02         5           1_B4         2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend * (0.5), 3         0.22         2           1_B5         2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend * (0.167), 3         0.15         4           1_B6         2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend * (0.167), 3         0.54         3           1_B7         2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend * (0.167), 3         0.84         3           1_B8         2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend * (0.167), 3         0.84         3           1_B9         JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement         3         2	Label Indicator     Data     Score 1       1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 3     68.57     5       1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities     5     4       1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement     2     1       1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement     3     2       1_C5 EDP Grade     4     3
	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.58	1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3 -5.89 5  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.33	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label     Indicator     Data     Score       2_A1     JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18     4     3       2_A2     weeks <sup>3</sup> 55.00     3	Label Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement         4         3	Label Indicator         Data         Score 1           2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN         4         3
Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00
Social Inclusion (3)	Labe/         Indicator         Data         Score           3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5), 3         93.73         93.73         291.98         2           3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5), 3         91.98         2           3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 3         41.18         3           3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 3         96.45         3           3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour         4         3           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         3         2	Label         Indicator         Data         Score *I           3_B1         2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend *2 (0.5), 3         0.16         1           3_B2         2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend *2 (0.5), 3         -0.12         3           3_B3         Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools *3         4.65         4           3_B4         2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend *3         -1.08         5	Label     Indicator     Data     Score 1       3_C1     JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion     3     2       3_C2     JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism     3     2       3_C3     Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 3     87.32     4
0,	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.70	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.67	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67
Life Long Learning (4)	Label     Indicator     Data     Score       4_A1     16-17 year old participation rates in education and training     79.00     3       4_A2     Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage     43.18     4       AVERAGE SCORE = 3.50	Label Indicator  Data Score   AVERAGE SCORE =	Label Indicator         Data         Score 1           4_C1         JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities         3         2   AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score           5_A1         JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA         3         2           5_A2         JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making         3         2           5_A3         JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)         2         1           5_A4         JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5)         2         1		Label         Indicator         Data         Score 1           5_C1         JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve         3         2           5_C2         JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement         4         3           5_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 3         66.20         3    AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67
St	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.61		OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.77

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea