## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

# **Buckinghamshire LEA**



Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	2.08	2.37	2.20	
SEN	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Social Inclusion	2.00	3.00	2.00	
Life Long Learning	2.50	-	2.00	
Strategic Management	2.40	-	2.00	
Average Score	2.15	2.54	2.09	
Category <sup>1</sup>	3 star	Proven	Secure	

## Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.

- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Buckinghamshire LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance (A)

### Improvement ( B )

Capacity (C)

	Label Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>
School Improvement (1)	<ul> <li>1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)</li> <li>1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup></li> <li>1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup></li> <li>1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup></li> <li>1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup></li> <li>1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT</li> <li>1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement</li> <li>1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)</li> </ul>	2.74 3 28.01 1 27.56 1 101.10 1 99.30 2 3 2 3 2 0 3	<ul> <li>1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding)</li> <li>1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>.<sup>3</sup></li> <li>1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B5 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup></li> <li>1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement</li> <li>1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools &lt;25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup></li> </ul>	-0.98         3           -7.35         1           -0.07         5           0.09         3           0.47         2           0.26         5           0.68         4           0.98         2           3         2           6.59         1	1_C1       Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2       JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities         1_C3       JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement         1_C4       JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement         1_C5       EDP Grade	81.86 2 3 2 3 2 4 3 3 2
-	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.08		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.37		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.20	
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label         Indicator           2_A1         JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18           2_A2         weeks <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score 1           3         2           78.55         2	Label         Indicator           2_B1         JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 3 2	Label         Indicator           2_C1         JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 3 2
Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
Social Inclusion (3)	Label         Indicator           3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 95.07         1           93.27         1           42.11         3           97.38         2           3         2           0         0	Label         Indicator           3_B1         2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2         2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3         Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4         2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 0.04         2           0.14         2           4.29         4           0.37         3	Label         Indicator           3_C1         JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion           3_C2         JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism           3_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score 1           0         3         2           94.88         2
S	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.00	AVERAGE S	CORE = 3.00	AVERAGE S(	CORE = 2.00
Life Long Learning (4)	Label         Indicator           4_A1         16-17 year old participation rates in education and training           4_A2         Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage           AVERAGE SC	Data         Score 1           82.00         2           54.76         3           ORE =         2.50	Label Indicator AVERAGE S	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label         Indicator           4_C1         JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities           AVERAGE S0	Data         Score 1           3         2           CORE =         2.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label         Indicator           5_A1         JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA           5_A2         JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making           5_A3         JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 5_A4         JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> AVERAGE SC	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 3         2           4         3           0         3           3         2           ORE =         2.40	Label Indicator	Data Score <sup>†</sup>	Label         Indicator           5_C1         JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve           5_C2         JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement           5_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score 1           0         3         2           81.69         2         2           CORE =         2.00         2
S	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.15	OVERALL AVERAGE S	CORE = 2.54	OVERALL AVERAGE SO	CORE = 2.09

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.

3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores

4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003

5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea