Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)



Please Select LEA:

Bury

Office for Standards in Education

| Aspect | Current performance | Indications of improvement | Capacity to make further improvement |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| School Improvement | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| SEN | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Social Inclusion | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Life Long Learning | 2.3 | - | 1.5 |
| Strategic Management | 2.0 | - | 2.0 |
| Average Score | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| Category ¹ | ** | *** | |

Ŧ

Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:

3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38

2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38

1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35

- star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Bury LEA (v.7)

| | Current Performance | Improvement | Capacity |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| School Improvement | Indicator Data Score 1 Percentage of schools in special measures 0.0 1 2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ² ^{((L,5)} 27.4 2 2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ² ^{((L,5)} 27.3 2 2001 KS2 Benglish Average Point Score ² ^{((L,1)} 34.0 2 2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score ² ^{((L,1)} 35.3 3 2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score ² ^{((L,1)} 33.8 3 2001 GCSE Average Point Score ² ^{((L,1)} 33.8 3 2001 GCSE Average Point Score ² ^{((L,1)} 40.6 3 JRS 1 - Support to schools for raising standards in curriculum us 5 4 JRS 1 - Gontext) - JRS 2 (Performance) 1 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 23 | IndicatorDataScore 1Percentage of special measures primary schools in > 18 months21Percentage of special measures secondary schools in > 24 montl11999-2001 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,5) 0.21999-2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,5) 0.0431999-2001 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,1) 0.431999-2001 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,1) 0.531999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,1) 0.831999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend2 ^(10,1) 0.8JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement01999-2001 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools<=25% in 1% 6.51AVERAGE SCORE =2.7 | Indicator Data Score ' Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficien 81.7 2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities 2 1 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school ir 2 1 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 2 1 EDP Grade 3 2 |
| special curcational Needs | Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 4 3 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first 30.1 5 time within 18 weeks AVERAGE SCORE = 4.0 | Indicator Data Score ¹ JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school imp 4 3 AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0 3 | Indicator Data Score ¹ JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 5 4 AVERAGE SCORE = 4.0 |
| Social Inclusion | Indicator Data Score ¹ 2002 Primary Attendance Rate ^{2(0.5)} 94.6 2 2002 Secondary Attendance Rate ^{2(0.5)} 92.4 1 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 37.5 4 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 98.6 1 JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behaviour 3 2 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 0 | Indicator Data Score ¹ 2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5)} -0.1 3 2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5)} -0.1 3 2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5)} -0.1 3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated intc 30.2 1 1999-2001 GCSE 1+ A [*] -G PC Trend -0.1 3 | Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl 0 0 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 0 0 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 96.3 1 |
| | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.1 | AVERAGE SCORE = 23 | AVERAGE SCORE = 1.0 |
| Life Long Learning | Indicator Data Score ¹ Staying on rates post 16 for education and training 72.0 3 BV158 - Adult Education Hours 84.0 2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stag, 59.5 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.3 | Indicator Data Score ¹ BV158 Trend (99/00 - 01/02) AVERAGE SCORE = | Indicator Data Score ¹ JRS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support c 2 1 Percentage of EY settings on a 4-2yr OFSTED inspection cycle 94.9 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 1.5 |
| orrategic management of Education | Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 2 1 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 3 2 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) ^{2 (0.1} 4 3 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions 4 3 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.0 | Indicator Data Score ¹ AVERAGE SCORE = | Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 0 0 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve. 0 0 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 80.5 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.0 |
| | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.4 | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 26 | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 1.7 |

Notes: 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' 10% of LEAs are scored as 1, the next 20% as 2, the middle 40% as 3, the next 20% as 4, and the 'worst' 10% are scored as 5.

Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
 If the corresponding 'performance' indicator is scored at 1, variable is also automatically given a score of 1.