# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## Camden LEA



| Aspect                | Current performance | Indications of improvement | Capacity to sustain improvement |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| School Improvement    | 1.83                | 2.57                       | 1.40                            |  |
| SEN                   | 2.00                | 2.00                       | 1.00                            |  |
| Social Inclusion      | 2.90                | 2.50                       | 2.00                            |  |
| Life Long Learning    | 3.50                | -                          | 1.00                            |  |
| Strategic Management  | 1.50                | -                          | 2.50                            |  |
| Average Score         | 2.28                | 2.48                       | 1.67                            |  |
| Category <sup>1</sup> | 3 star              | Proven                     | Secure                          |  |

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Camden LEA (v.12.11)

Improvement (B) Current Performance ( A ) Capacity (C)

| ool Improvement (1)                   | Label Indicator  1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)  1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT  1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement  1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) | Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 0.00         1           26.84         3           26.58         3           99.40         3           100.10         1           3         2           2         1           1         2 | Label         Indicator           1_B1         2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding 1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> .           1_B3         2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B4         2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B5         2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B6         2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B7         2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B8         2000-2002 CSSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> | Data         Score <sup>1</sup> -1.22         1           0.00         1           0.05         5           -0.02         4           0.59         2           0.82         1           1.05         2           0.61         3 | 1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities      | 2 1 2 1 3 2 1 1 1           |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Special Educational<br>Needs (2)      | AVERAGE SC  Label Indicator  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18  2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>  | ORE = 1.83    Data   Score  | 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement     1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup> AVERAGE S    Label Indicator   2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement   | 3 2<br>2.57 3<br>SCORE = 2.57  Data Score 1 3 2   | AVERAGE SCOR  Label Indicator  2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN  | Data Score 1 2 1            |
| Special E<br>Nee                      | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  |   | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  |   | AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00  |                             |
| Social Inclusion (3)                  | Label Indicator  3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour  3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children   | Data         Score 1           92.47         5           90.43         4           36.11         4           95.08         4           2         1           2         1           2         1                            | Label Indicator  3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>   | Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 0.37         1           0.11         2           5.33         4           0.43         2   | 3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion     3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism | Data Score 1 1 1 2 1 4.00 4 |
| 8                                     | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.90  |   | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50  |   | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  |                             |
| Life Long<br>Learning (4)             | Label Indicator  4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training  4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage  AVERAGE SC   | Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 75.00         3           50.00         4   ORE = 3.50  | Label Indicator  AVERAGE S  | Data Score 1  | Label Indicator  4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities  AVERAGE SCOR                         | Data Score 1 1 1  RE = 1.00 |
| Strategic Management of Education (5) | Label Indicator  5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA  5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making  5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>  | Data         Score 1           2         1           3         2           2         1           3         2  | Label Indicator   | Data Score <sup>1</sup>   | 5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve     5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement                 | 0 3 2 4.00 3                |
| Stra                                  | AVERAGE SC  | ORE = 1.50  ORE = 2.28  | AVERAGE S OVERALL AVERAGE S   |   | AVERAGE SCOF  |                             |
|                                       |   | <u>,                                      </u>  |   |   |   |                             |

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea