## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

# **Coventry LEA**



## **OVERALL RATING**

Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure

### **SUMMARY INFORMATION**

	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
Sum of the Weighted scores	43.50	19.83	28.00
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Overall Average Score <sup>1</sup>	2.42	2.20	2.15
Category <sup>2</sup>	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure

1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:

- 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
- 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
- 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
- 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
- star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3 'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Coventry LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A)

### Improvement ( B )

Capacity (C)

current Performance (A)		inprovement ( B )		Capacity (C)	
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score
1_A1 1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)*	1.90 3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.04 4	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency	80.00 4
1_A2 0.5 2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.38 4	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.00 1	1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - extend to which LEA targets resources on priorities	3 2
1_A3 0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	26.30 3	1_B3 0.5 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	-0.12 5	1_C3 1 JRS 3.11 (JRS 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school improvement	2 1
1_A4 0.5 2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	99.70 3	1_B4 0.5 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.18 2	1_C4 1 JRS 3.12 (JRS 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school improvement	3 2
1_A5 0.5 2003 KS3-GCSE VA*	98.40 3	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.48 2	1_C5 1 EDP Grade	2 1
1_A6 1 JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology	3 2	1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.61 2		
1_A7     1     JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement       1_A8     1     JRS 1 (socio-economic context of LEA) - JRS 2.3 (performance of schools)	2 1 1 2	1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.28 5 1.15 2		
	1 2	1_B9 1 JRS 2.2 - progress on implementing the LEA's strategy for school improvement	2 1		
		1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)*	4.51 2		
AVERAGE SCORE	<sup>2</sup> = 2.42	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	2.27	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	= 2.00
	- 1		- 1		
Label     Weights     Indicator       2_A1     1     JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label     Weights     Indicator       2_B1     1     JRS 4.3 - effectiveness of LEA in exercising its SEN functions to support school	Data Score ' 4 3	Label     Weights     Indicator       2_C1     1     JRS 4.1 - effectiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN	Data Score
	2 1	improvement			- 5
2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks*	31.00 5				
AVERAGE SCORE	<sup>2</sup> = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	3.00	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	3.00
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Scol
3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate*	93.92 3	3_B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.23 1	3_C1 1 JRS 5.1 - overall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion	3 2
3_A2 0.5 2004 Secondary Attendance Rate*	91.19 3	3_B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.37 2	3_C2 1 JRS 5.7 - effectiveness of LEA in promoting racial equality	4 3
3_A3 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)*	40.00 3	3_B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools*	28.03 1	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate	91.00
3_A4 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage*	94.14 4	3_B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.30 3		
3_A5 1 JRS 5.4 - support for behaviour in school   3_A6 1 JRS 3.5 - support for raising the achievement of minority ethnic pupils, including	3 2 3 2				
Traveller children	5 2				
	2				
AVERAGE SCORE	2 = 2.80	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	1.83	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	= 2.67
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Scor
4_A1 1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	75.00 3	No Indications	Data Coole	4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effectiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in	1 1
				support of priorities	
4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	56.76 3				
AVERAGE SCORE	<sup>2</sup> = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	-	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	1.00
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator	Data Sco
5_A1 1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	2 1	No Indications	244 000.8	5_C1 1 JRS 0.3 - LEA's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations	2 1
				of the inspection	
5_A2 1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making	2 1			5_C2 1 JRS 1.5 - extent to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous improvement, including Best Value	<b>s</b> 2
5_A3 0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places	2 1			5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall	59.00
5_A4 0.5 JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools	2 1				
AVERAGE SCORE	<sup>2</sup> = 1.00	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	-	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	= 2.00
OVERALL AVERAGE SC	$CORE^{3} = 2.42$	OVERALL AVERAGE SCOP	$RE^3 = 2.20$	OVERALL AVERAGE SCC	$ORE^{3} = 21$
American and a second					

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights

3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score

by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weights.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea

5. For indicators 1\_C3 and 1\_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.

\* = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores

- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004