Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)



Plea	ase	Se	lect	LEA	١	
------	-----	----	------	-----	---	--

Croydon	-
---------	---

Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of	Capacity to make further
'	'	improvement	improvement
School Improvement	2.4	3.1	3.0
SEN	3.5	1.0	3.0
Social Inclusion	2.9	3.8	2.7
Life Long Learning	2.0	-	3.0
Strategic Management	2.5	•	2.7
Average Score	2.6	3.1	2.9
Category ¹	**	**	

Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38
 - 2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38
 - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35
 - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Croydon LEA (v.7)

Current Performance Improvement Capacity

School Improvement	Indicator Data b Score ¹ Percentage of schools in special measures 0.0 1 2001 KS2 English Average Point Score ²(□.5) 27.1 3 2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score ²(□.5) 26.7 3 2001 KS3 English Average Point Score ²(□.11) 31.8 4 2001 KS3 English Average Point Score ²(□.11) 32.4 3 2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score ²(□.11) 32.0 4 2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score ²(□.11) 37.5 3 JRS 14 - Support to schools for raising standards in curriculum us 3 2 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 4 3 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) 1 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.4	Indicator Data Score¹ Percentage of special measures primary schools in > 18 months² 1 Percentage of special measures secondary schools in > 24 montl 1 1999-2001 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend²(".0.") 0.4 3 1999-2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend²(".0.") 0.2 2 1999-2001 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend²(".1.") 0.3 3 1999-2001 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend²(".1.") 0.6 3 1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend²(".1.") 0.7 4 1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend²(".0.") 0.0 4 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 4 3 1999-2001 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools<=25% in 1\$t -0.9 5 AVERAGE SCORE = 3.1	Indicator Data Score
Special Educational Needs	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 5 4 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks 64.3 3	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school imj 2 1	Indicator Data Score ¹ JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 4 3
g	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.5	AVERAGE SCORE = 1.0	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Social Inclusion	Indicator Data Score 1 2002 Primary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5) 93.4 4 2002 Secondary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5) 90.8 3 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 41.7 3 2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 94.4 4 JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behaviour 2 1 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 4 3	Indicator Data Score 1 2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5) -0.1 3 2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5) -0.3 4 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated int 9.5 3 1999-2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G PC Trend -1.0 5	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl 3 2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 3 2 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 85.6 4
	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.9	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.8	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7
Life Long Learning	Indicator Data Score 1 Staying on rates post 16 for education and training 77.0 2 BV158 - Adult Education Hours 58.7 2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stag 58.7 2	Indicator	Indicator JRS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support c 3 2 Percentage of EY settings on a 4-2yr OFSTED inspection cycle 80.5 4 AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0
Strategic Management of L Education	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 3 2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 4 3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places)² (0.1 to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 3 2 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.5	Indicator Data Score 1 AVERAGE SCORE =	Indicator Data Score 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 3 2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve 4 3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 70.3 3
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.6	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 3.1	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.9

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' 10% of LEAs are scored as 1, the next 20% as 2, the middle 40% as 3, the next 20% as 4, and the 'worst' 10% are scored as 5.

Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
 If the corresponding 'performance' indicator is scored at 1, variable is also automatically given a score of 1.