Local area child	mance p	Local area:			Croydon					~	×Χ	Ϋ.						
summary profile							Reporting Date: Qu			Quarter 3 : 14 August 2009					Utsted			
Inspected Services % of providers judged o	utstand	ling, good, satisfa	actory, ir	nadequate f	or Overall Eff	fectivene	SS	Safeguarding a serious case re-		d after o	children inspectio	ons, including	unanno	ounce	d inspe	ections	and	
Number Ins	Outstanding Satisfactory		%Good or Better	Similar Areas	National	Annual unannounced referral and assessment (safeguarding) inspection				n/a				n/a				
Childminder				6 51 42 1			Average below	Three-yearly inspectio Three-yearly inspectio		n/a n/a n/a n/a								
Childcare - Domestic	1	100			0%	below	below	Serious Case Reviews	conducted a	01/04/07 - 15/07/	07/09 1 out of 2							
Childcare - Non-Domestic	190	4 58 36 2			62%	below	below	Joint Area Review	Jun '08			Children Good						
Nursery	32	21 61		16 3	82%	above	below	Jun '08 Safeguarding Goo Every Child Matters indicators: National Indicator Set Fille Fille									Good	
Primary	87	13 43 40 5			55%	below	below		J 301	Comparison with Comparison with Similar Areas National Average								
Secondary	19	21 26	53		47%	below	below		with	data	- % of Indicators in		Sin	nilar Are	eas	Nationa	al Aver	age
Sixth Form School	10	50	30	20	80%	above	above		Data Available	Total NIS	middle/ lower mic quartiles	dle/lower	Above	In line	Below	Above I	n line l	Below
Special	6	50	17	33	67%	below	below	1. Being healthy	9	11	11 22 <mark>44</mark>	22	1	5	3	1	7	1
Pupil Referral Unit	5	80		20	80%	above	above	2. Staying safe	12	14	8 50	42	0	9	3	0	8	4
General Further Education and Tertiary	1	100			100%	above	above	3. Enjoying and achieving	24	36	8 58	29 4	1	22	1	0	24	0
Sixth Form College	2	100			0%	below	below	4. Making a positive contribution	14	15	29 7 <mark>29</mark>	36	0	7	2	3	7	4
Independent Specialist College								5. Achieving economic well-being <u>Click here to view</u> a	10	11 S data	80	10 10	5	5	0	4	6	0
Children's Home	3	100			100%	above	above		in latest wi									
Local Authority Fostering Agency	1	100			100%	above	above				nual rating of council c Il performance profile,							
Local Authority Adoption Agency	1	100		0%	below	below	element of the joint inspectorate CAA report. 2) For inspected services data the bands for % judged good or better are based on the following cut off points: dark green is 80% of											
Private Fostering 1 100 0% below below							providers or over, light green is 65-79.99%, amber is 50-64.99%, red is less than 50%. 3) For ECM/NIS data, data available is the number of indicators where data currently available to Ofsted, and total NIS is the number of NIS indicators relevant to this outcome. Some NIS are not available until the second year of CAA. For year 1 the bands are based on quartile distribution. The most up to date time period available is used for the summary profile, but time periods vary between different indicators (for instance financial or academic year). ECM grades from Ofsted inspections of providers will also be considered as part of the											
Click here for further de	tail and	ECM judgements	2					full performance profil 4) Comparison with sii 5) NI78, NI67, NI89a Average	e. nilar areas is and NI76 hav	based on ve been ex	the children's services cluded from the quartil pols, special schools an	statistical neighbours es banding and com	· s groups (develope	d by NFE	R and DC	SF.	