## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)**



Please Select LEA:

City of Derby

Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to make further improvement	
School Improvement	3.7	2.4	2.8	
SEN	2.0	2.0	3.0	
Social Inclusion	2.7	3.0	2.3	
Life Long Learning	3.3	2.0	2.5	
Strategic Management	3.0	-	3.0	
Average Score	3.1	2.5	2.7	
Category <sup>1</sup>	**	***		

Ŧ

Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:

3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38

2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38

1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35

- star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - City of Derby LEA (v.7)

	Current Performance		Improvement		Capacity	
School Improvement	Percentage of schools in special measures     2       2001 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,b)</sup> 2       2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,b)</sup> 2       2001 KS3 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,1)</sup> 3       2001 KS3 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,1)</sup> 3       2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,11)</sup> 3       2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,11)</sup> 3       2003 GCSE Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,11)</sup> 3       2016 GCSE Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,11)</sup> 3       2017 GCSE Average Point Score <sup>2 (0,11)</sup> 3       205 Holds for raising standards in curriculum us JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement     3	Ata     Score <sup>1</sup> 2.9     4       6.5     4       6.3     3       1.5     4       3.6     3       2.0     4       5.7     4       4     3       -1     4       4.2     3.7	Indicator     Data       Percentage of special measures primary schools in > 18 months <sup>2</sup> 0.0       Percentage of special measures secondary schools in > 24 month     0.0       1999-2001 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 0.5       1999-2001 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 0.2       1999-2001 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.0       1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.6       1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.6       1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.6       1999-2001 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.7       1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.7       1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.7       1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.7       1999-2001 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.1)</sup> 0.8       JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement     4       1999-2001 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools<=25% in 11     1.7       AVERAGE SCORE     1.7	1 2 5 2 4 3 3 3	Indicator     Data     Score <sup>1</sup> Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficien     78.3     3       JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities     3     2       JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school ir     4     3       JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement     4     3       EDP Grade     4     3	
Special Educational Needs	JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations	Pata Score <sup>1</sup> 2 1 6.0 3 RE = <b>2.0</b>	Indicator Data JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school imj 3 AVERAGE SCORE	a Score <sup>1</sup> 2 = <b>2.0</b>	Indicator Data Score 1   JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 4 3   AVERAGE SCORE = 3.0	
Social Inclusion	2002 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 9   2002 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 90   2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 5   2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 9   JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behaviour 9	hata Score <sup>1</sup> 3.8 3 0.6 4 1.9 3 4.8 4 3 2 2 1	Indicator     Date       2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> -0.1       2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> -0.3       Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated intc     23.5       1999-2001 GCSE 1+ A*-G PC Trend     0.0	3 5 5 2	Indicator     Data     Score <sup>1</sup> JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl     3     2       JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism     3     2       Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate     89.1     3	
	AVERAGE SCOF	RE = 2.7	AVERAGE SCORE	= 3.0	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.3	
Life Long Learning	Staying on rates post 16 for education and training 6		Indicator Data BV158 Trend (99/00 - 01/02) 3.05 AVERAGE SCORE		Indicator     Data     Score <sup>1</sup> JRS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support c     3     2       Percentage of EY settings on a 4-2yr OFSTED inspection cycle     90.6     3       AVERAGE SCORE =     2.5	
Strategic Management of Education	Indicator D JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.t</sup> JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions AVERAGE SCOP		Indicator Data AVERAGE SCORE	a Score <sup>1</sup>	Indicator     Data     Score <sup>1</sup> JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve     3     2       JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve.     4     3       Percentage of schools graded V or G overall     65.2     4       AVERAGE SCORE =     3.0	
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCOP	RE = 3.1	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE	= 2.5	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.7	

Notes: 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' 10% of LEAs are scored as 1, the next 20% as 2, the middle 40% as 3, the next 20% as 4, and the 'worst' 10% are scored as 5.

Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
If the corresponding 'performance' indicator is scored at 1, variable is also automatically given a score of 1.