## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

# **Devon LEA**



## **OVERALL RATING**

Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure

### **SUMMARY INFORMATION**

	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement	
Sum of the Weighted scores	51.50	22.11	32.00	
Sum of Weights	18.00	8.00	13.00	
Overall Average Score <sup>1</sup>	2.86	2.76	2.46	
Category <sup>2</sup>	2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure	

1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:

- 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
- 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
- 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
- 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
- star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3 'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Devon LEA (v.14.07)

Data Score

Data Score 1 5 Λ

Data Score

3 2

4 3 94.52 2

87.54 2

2 1

3 2

5 4

3 2

Current Performance ( A )			Improvement ( B )		Capacity ( C )			
Label	Weight	ts Indicator	Data	Score 1	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>		Data
1_A1	1	Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth for	ms)* 2.23	3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)*	-0.77 3	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency	87.54
1_A2	0.5	2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.75	3	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.00 1	1_C2 1 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities	2
1_A3	0.5	2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	26.98	2	1_B3 0.5 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	-0.19 5	1_C3 1 JR\$ 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement	3
1_A4	0.5	2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	100.10	2	1_B4 0.5 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.14 3	1_C4 1 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	5
1_A5	0.5	2003 KS3-GCSE VA*	99.40	2	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.46 3	1_C5 1 EDP Grade	3
1_A6	1	JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of I	CT 5	4	1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.59 2		
1_A7	1	JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement	4	3	1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.31 5		
_ 1_A8	1	JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	-1	4	1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.56 3		
					1_B9 1 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement	4 3		
					1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)*			
		AVEF	AGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> = 3.08	]	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	3.03	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	2.20
	Weight	ts Indicator	Data	Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>		Data
2_A1	1	JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations	4	3	2_B1 1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	4 3	2_C1 1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	5
2_A2	1	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time v	vithin 18 weeks* 89.40	1				
		AVEF	AGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> = 2.00	J	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	3.00	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	4.00
Label	Weight	ts Indicator	Data	Score 1	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	1 Label Weights Indicator	Data
3_A1	0.5	2004 Primary Attendance Rate*	94.67	2	3_B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.08 2	3_C1 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion	3
3_A2	0.5	2004 Secondary Attendance Rate*	91.57	3	3_B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.26 2	3_C2 1 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	4
3_A3	1	2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)*	50.63	3	3_B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools*	18.79 2	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate	94.52
3_A4	1	2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage*	96.79	3	3_B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.01 3		
3_A5	1	JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour	5	4				
3_A6	1	JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	3	2				
0_/10			0	~				
		AVEF	AGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> = 2.90	]	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	2.33	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	2.33
	Weight	ts Indicator	Data	Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>		Data
4_A1	1	16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	78.00	3	No Indicatiors		4_C1 1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	3
4_A2	1	Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation sta	ge 43.66	5				
		AVEF	AGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> = 4.00		AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	-	AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> =	2.00

School Improvement (1)

Special cational Needs (2)

Educat

Social Inclusion (3)

VERAGE SCORE<sup>2</sup> = 2.33 Life Long Learning (4) Data Score priorities 3 2 VERAGE SCORE<sup>2</sup> = 2.00 Strategic Management of Education (5) Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 Data Score Score Label Weights Indicator 
 Label
 Weights
 Indicator

 5\_A1
 1
 JRS 52 - 0
Data 5\_C1 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA No Indications 3 2 5\_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 5\_C2 1 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 4 3 3 2 5\_A3 0.5 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 5\_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 75.22 3 1 5\_A4 0.5 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 3 2 AVERAGE SCORE<sup>2</sup> = 2.67 AVERAGE SCORE<sup>2</sup> = 2.17 AVERAGE SCORE <sup>2</sup> = -OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE <sup>3</sup> = 2.86 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE <sup>3</sup> = 2.76 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE <sup>3</sup> = 2.46

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights

3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score

by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weights.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea

\* = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores

- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004