Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Halton LEA



OVERALL RATING

Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
2 star (upper)	Not Proven	Secure

SUMMARY INFORMATION

	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
Sum of the Weighted scores	44.50	25.83	26.00
Sum of Weights	18.00	8.00	13.00
Overall Average Score ¹	2.47	3.23	2.00
Category ²	2 star (upper)	Not Proven	Secure

1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:

- 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
- 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
- 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
- 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
- star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3 'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Halton LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A)

Improvement (B)

Capacity (C)

		inprovement (B)		capacity (C)
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹
1_A1 1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)*	3.33 3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.96 5	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 88.14 2
1_A2 0.5 2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.65 3	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.00 3	1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - extend to which LEA targets resources on priorities 3 2
1_A3 0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	26.53 3	1_B3 0.5 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	-0.16 5	1_C3 1 JRS 3.11 (JRS 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school 3 2 improvement
1_A4 0.5 2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	99.20 4	1_B4 0.5 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.01 4	1_C4 1 JRS 3.12 (JRS 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school 3 2 improvement
1_A5 0.5 2003 KS3-GCSE VA*	98.60 3	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.52 2	1_C5 1 EDP Grade 3 2
1_A6 1 JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology 1_A7 1 JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement	2 1 3 2	1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	0.60 2 0.26 5	
1_A7 1 JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement 1_A8 1 JRS 1 (socio-economic context of LEA) - JRS 2.3 (performance of schools)	2 1	1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)*	-0.13 5	
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1_B9 1 JRS 2.2 - progress on implementing the LEA's strategy for school improvement	3 2	
		1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)*		
AVERAGE SCORE	² = 2.25	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	3.71	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
Label Weights Indicator 2_A1 1 JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN 2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks* AVERAGE SCORE AVERAGE SCORE	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹
2_A1 1 JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN	4 3	2_B1 1 JRS 4.3 - effectiveness of LEA in exercising its SEN functions to support school	4 3	2_C1 1 JRS 4.1 - effectiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN 4 3
2.42. A Descentere of smile for when a statement is insured for the first time within 40 we shat	43.60 4	improvement		
2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks*	43.00 4			
	2			
AVERAGE SCORE	= 3.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
	,			
Label Weights Indicator 3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate*	Data Score 1 94.18 3	Label Weights Indicator 3_B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	Data Score 1 0.02 2	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 3_C1 1 JRS 5.1 - overall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion 3 2
3_A2 0.5 2004 Finally Additional Rate*	89.79 4	3_B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)*	0.31 2	3_C2 1 JRS 5.7 - effectiveness of LEA is strategy to promoting social motivities of 2 3
3_A3 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)*	80.00 1	3_B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools*	42.86 1	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 93.22 3
3_A4 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage*	95.84 3	3_B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)*	-0.99 5	
3_A5 1 JRS 5.4 - support for behaviour in school 3_A6 1 JRS 3.5 - support for raising the achievement of minority ethnic pupils, including	3 2 4 3			
Traveller children				
AVERAGE SCORE	² - 2.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	2.67	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.33
AVERAGE SCORE	= 2.30	AVERAGE SCORE =	2.07	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.55
Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹
4_A1 1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	73.00 4	No Indications	Dulu Doord	4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effectiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in 2 1
4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	57.69 3			support of priorities
4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	57.05 5			
AVERAGE SCORE	² = 3.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	-	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.00
Label Weights Indicator 5_A1 1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 5_A2 1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making 5_A3 0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places 5_A4 0.5 JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score
5_A1 1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	3 2	No Indications		5_C1 1 JRS 0.3 - LEA's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations 3 2 of the inspection
5_A2 1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making	2 1			5_C2 1 JRS 1.5 - extent to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 3 2
5_A3 0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places	2 1			improvement, including Best Value 5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 84.48
5_A4 0.5 JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools	3 2			
	2 4 50 1	N/52105 00005 ²		
AVERAGE SCORE	= 1.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	-	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.67
OVERALL AVERAGE SO	CORE ³ - 2.47	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	DE ³ 2 22	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 2.00
OVERALL AVERAGE SU	SURE = 2.47	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	RE = 3.23	UVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights

3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score

by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weights.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea

5. For indicators 1_C3 and 1_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.

* = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores

- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004