# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Harrow LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	1.92	2.21	1.60
SEN	4.00	4.00	5.00
Social Inclusion	2.70	2.00	2.33
Life Long Learning	3.00	-	2.00
Strategic Management	3.17	-	3.00
Average Score	2.69	2.35	2.38
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Harrow LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

vement (1)	Label         Indicator         Data         Sco           1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         0.00           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 27.54           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 27.81           1_A4         2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 100.70	1_B1 2001-2003 Percenta 2001-2003 Percenta 1_B2 inadequate sixth for 1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 Engli 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Math	ge of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> ge of secondary schools causing concern (excluding ms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> sh Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> -0.07 ematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.27	1 1 5 2	Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> Section 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities     1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement     1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	Data Score 1  06.36 1  4 3 2 1 3 2	
School Improvement (1)	1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2(0.5)</sup> 100.60 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 2 1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) 0  AVERAGE SCORE = 1.	1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Math 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Scier 1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Av 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in in 1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+	sh Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.74 ematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.58 ematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 1.14 erage Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.45 aplementing strategy for school improvement 3 A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup> AVERAGE SCORE =	1 3 1 3 2	1_C5 EDP Grade  AVERAGE SCOR	2 1	
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Indicator  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 4 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 2_A2 weeks 3 15.90 15.90	Label Indicator 2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness	Data in exercising functions to support school improvement 5	Score <sup>1</sup>	Label Indicator  2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 6 5	
Spe	AVERAGE SCORE = 4.	0	AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 5.00		
Social Inclusion (3)	Label         Indicator         Data         Scot           3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 93.92           3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 92.32           3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 50.00           3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 97.93           3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour         6           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         3	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary A 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondar	y Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.39 receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 8.51	1 1 1 3 2	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion     3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	Data Score 1 5 4 3 2 166.97 1	
S	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.70		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.33		
Life Long Learning (4)	Label     Indicator     Data     Sco       4_A1     16-17 year old participation rates in education and training     68.00       4_A2     Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage     67.86   AVERAGE SCORE = 3.		Data  AVERAGE SCORE =	Score 1	Label Indicator 4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities  AVERAGE SCOR	Data Score 1 3 2	
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label         Indicator         Data         Sco           5_A1         JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA         4           5_A2         JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making         4           5_A3         JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)         5           5_A4         JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5)         4    AVERAGE SCORE = 3.	Label Indicator		Score 1	Label Indicator  5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 4 3 5 4 80.30 2	
S	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.	9	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE =	2.35	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	RE = 2.38	

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea