Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003

Kingston-Upon-Thames LEA



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	1.75	2.96	1.60
SEN	3.50	1.00	1.00
Social Inclusion	2.30	2.17	1.67
Life Long Learning	1.50	-	1.00
Strategic Management	1.17	-	1.33
Average Score	1.97	2.42	1.46
Category ¹	3 star	Proven	Secure

Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Kingston-Upon-Thames LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

						\Box	
School Improvement (1)	1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) 1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA ^{2 (0.5)} 1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA ^{2 (0.5)} 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	2.13 3 8.14 1 7.82 1 9.90 1 9.30 2 2 1 2 1 0 3	Label Indicator 1	Data Score 1 -1.35 3 0.00 1 0.09 5 0.09 3 0.86 1 0.26 5 0.56 5 0.20 4 3 2	Label Indicator Data Score 1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 3 82.98 2 1_C2 JRS 6- allocation of resources to priorities 3 2 1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement 3 2 1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 2 1 1_C5 EDP Grade 2 1		
	AVERAGE SCORE = 1.75		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.96		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.60		
Special Educational Needs (2)	JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18	3 2 6.00 5	Label Indicator 2_B1 JR\$31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	Data Score 1 2 1	Label Indicator Data Score 2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 2 1	1	
Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00		
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) ³	Data Score 1 4.66 2 2.62 1 3.33 4 3.78 4 1 1 2 1	Label Indicator 3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools ³ 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend ³	Data Score ¹ 0.83 1 0.37 2 38.98 1 -0.20 4	Label Indicator Data Score 3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion 2 1 3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 2 1 3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 3 91.49 3	.1	
•,	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.30		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.17		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.67		
Life Long Learning (4)	4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	Data Score 1 7.00 1 4.00 2 RE = 1.50	Label Indicator AVERAGE S	Data Score 1	Label Indicator Data Score 4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities 2 1 AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00		
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label Indicator 5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) ^{2 (0.5)} 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) ^{2 (0.5)} AVERAGE SCOI	Data Score 1 2 1 2 1 3 2 2 1	Label Indicator AVERAGE S	Data Score 1	Label Indicator Data Score 5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 2 1 5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 3 2 5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 3 85.11 1 AVERAGE SCORE = 1.33		
Str	OVERALL AVERAGE SCOI		OVERALL AVERAGE S		OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 1.46		
	OVERALE AVERAGE SCOT	1.01	OVERALE AVERAGE S	2.42	OFERALE AFERAGE SCORE = 1.40		

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea