Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Lambeth LEA



OVERALL RATING

2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure
Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category ²	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure
Overall Average Score ¹	2.47	2.43	2.69
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Sum of the Weighted scores	44.50	21.83	35.00
	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

- 1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3

'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Lambeth LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

Ī					
	Label	Weights Indicator	Data Score 1		a Score 1
	1_A1	1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)*	1.45 2	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* -2.33 2 1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 79.71	1 4
Ξ	1_A2	0.5 2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.48 4	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend -5.00 1 1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - extend to which LEA targets resources on priorities 3 (2002 to 2004)*	2
nent	1_A3	0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	26.04 4	1_B3 0.5 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.10 5 1_C3 1 JRS 3.11 (JRS 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school 2 improvement	1
oven	1_A4	0.5 2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	99.40 3	1_B4 0.5 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* -0.11 5 1_C4 1 JRS 3.12 (JRS 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school 3 improvement	2
school Improvement (1)	1_A5 1_A6	2003 KS3-GCSE VA* JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology	102.70 1 4 3	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.34 3 1_C5 1 EDP Grade 4 1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 1.19 1	3
8	1_A7	1 JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement	2 1	1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.95 2	
Sc	1_A8	1 JRS 1 (socio-economic context of LEA) - JRS 2.3 (performance of schools)	2 1	1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 1.39 1 1_B9 1 JRS 2.2 - progress on implementing the LEA's strategy for school improvement 2 1	
•,				1_B9	
		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	2.17	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.37 AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.40	0
Special Educational Needs (2)		Weights Indicator	Data Score 1		a Score 1
2) ati	2_A1	1 JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN	4 3	2_B1 1 JRS 4.3 - effectiveness of LEA in exercising its SEN functions to support school 3 2 2_C1 1 JRS 4.1 - effectiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN	2
on g	2_A2	1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks*	23.60 5	improvement	
9 E	2_/12	1 of certage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 10 weeks	25.00		
.g Ž					
8		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	4.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00 AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00	0
0)					
		Michael In Process	Data Score 1	Com !	Score 1
<u>®</u>	3_A1	Weights Indicator 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate*	Data Score ' 93.83 3	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ' Label Weights Indicator Data 3_B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.26 1 3_C1 1 JRS 5.1 - overall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion 4	3 Score
9	3_A2	0.5 2004 Secondary Attendance Rate*	92.46 1	3, B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.72 1 3_C2 1 JRS 5.7 - effectiveness of LEA in promoting racial equality 4	3
Inclusion	3_A3	1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)*	58.75 3	3, B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative fution reintegrated into schools* 1,92 5 3,C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 85.51	-
<u>s</u>	3_A4	1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage*	97.72 1	3. B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.50 2	
2	3_A5	1 JRS 5.4 - support for behaviour in school	3 2		
<u>a</u>	3_A6	JRS 3.5 - support for raising the achievement of minority ethnic pupils, including	3 2		
Social		Traveller children			
й					_
		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	2.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.67 AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.67	7
<u>p</u>					
Learning l)	Label	Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score Label Weights Indicator Data	a Score 1
ea	4_A1	1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	77.00 3	No Indications 4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effectiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in 3	2
7 €				support of priorities	
Long L (4)	4_A2	1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	48.33 4		
Ž		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	0.50	AVERAGE SCORE 2 = 2.00	0
Life		AVERAGE SCORE =	3.50	AVERAGE SCORE = AVERAGE SCORE =	U_
o l					
	Label	Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 Label Weights Indicator Data	a Score 1
ne 🤆	5_A1	1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	3 2	No Indications 5_C1 1 JRS 0.3 - LEA's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations 3	2
ger C	5 40	4 10040 - 1/1-1/1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	3 2	of the inspection 5 (2) 1 JRS 15 - strengt to which LFA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 3	2
i a	5_A2	1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making	3 Z	5_C2 1 JRS 1.5 - extent to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 3 improvement, including Best Value	2
Cat at	5_A3	0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places	4 3	5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 57.97	7 4
일등	5_A4	0.5 JR\$ 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools	3 2		
teg m					
tr a	Label Weights Indicator 5_A1 1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 3 2 5_A2 1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making 3 2 5_A3 0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places 4 3 5_A4 0.5 JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools 3 2 AVERAGE SCORE 2 = 2.17			AVERAGE SCORE ² = - AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.67	7
Ó					
		OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	$RE^3 = 2.47$	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.43 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 =	= 2.69

Notes:

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights
- 3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weighted.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

- 4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea
- 5. For indicators 1_C3 and 1_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.
- \star = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores
- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004