Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Lancashire LEA



OVERALL RATING

Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure

SUMMARY INFORMATION

	Current Performance Indications of Improvement		Capacity to Sustain Improvement	
Sum of the Weighted scores	45.50	21.67	27.00	
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00 2.08	
Overall Average Score ¹	2.53	2.41		
Category ²	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure	

1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.

2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:

- 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
- 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
- 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
- 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
- star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3 'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Lancashire LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A)

Improvement (B)

Capacity (C)

	Label Weigh	nts Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator De	ata Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc
	1_A1 1		1.90 3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* -0	.19 3	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 84.86
(1)	1_A2 0.5	2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.97 3	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend 0. (2002 to 2004)*	00 3	1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - extend to which LEA targets resources on priorities 4
lent	1_A3 0.5	2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	27.22 2		.06 5	1_C3 1 JRS 3.11 (JRS 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school 2 improvement
School Improvement (1)	1_A4 0.5	2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	99.90 3	1_B4 0.5 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.	23 2	1_C4 1 JRS 3.12 (JRS 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school 2 improvement
mpre	-	2003 KS3-GCSE VA*	98.80 3 3 2	- , , ,	07 4 44 3	1_C5 1 EDP Grade 2
-	1_A6 1 1_A7 1	JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement	3 2 2 1		44 3 32 5	
оч;	1_A8 1	JRS 1 (socio-economic context of LEA) - JRS 2.3 (performance of schools)	0 3		80 2	
Ň					2 1	
				1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)* 3.	26 2	
		AVERAGE SCORE	= 2.42	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2	43	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.80
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Weigh	Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator D	ata Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc
atic	2_A1 1	JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN	4 3		2 1	2_C1 1 JRS 4.1 - effectiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN 4
duc s (1	0.40		67.10 3	improvement		
eed eed	2_A2 1	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks*	67.10 3			
z a						
Spe		AVERAGE SCORE	= 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.	00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
	Label Weigh	nts Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Da	ata Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc
(3)	3_A1 0.5		94.97 1		17 1	3_C1 1 JRS 5.1 - overall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion 3
Inclusion		2004 Secondary Attendance Rate*	91.87 3 49.35 3		23 2	3_C2 1 JRS 5.7 - effectiveness of LEA in promoting racial equality 1 3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 94.02
ISI	3_A3 1 3_A4 1	2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)* 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage*	49.35 3 96.75 3		41 3 21 4	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 94.02
nc	3_A5 1	JRS 5.4 - support for behaviour in school	4 3			
	3_A6 1	JRS 3.5 - support for raising the achievement of minority ethnic pupils, including	2 1			
Social		Traveller children				
0)		AVERAGE SCORE	= 2.40	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.	83	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
_			2.10			
Learning !)	Label Weigh	te ladiate	Data Score ¹	Label Weinkte Indianter	ata Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc
arı	4_A1 1	16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	76.00 3	Label Weights Indicator Data	ita Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc 4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effectiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in 4
4 E						support of priorities
Long L (4)	4_A2 1	Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	56.22 3			
e.		AVERAGE SCORE	= 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	-	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
Life						
t of	Label Weigh	te Indicator	Data Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Di	ata Score ¹	Label Weights Indicator Data Sc
egic Management Education (5)	5_A1 1	JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	3 2	No Indications		Subscription Data Subscription 5_C1 1 JRS 0.3 - LEA's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations 2
gen (5	5 40 1		3 2			of the inspection
tior	5_A2 1	JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making	3 2			5_C2 1 JRS 1.5 - extent to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 3 improvement, including Best Value
Na	5_A3 0.5		4 3			5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 77.29
Ed t	5_A4 0.5	JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools	4 3			
Strate		AVERAGE SCORE	- 233	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	_	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
ชื่		AVERAGE SCORE	2.00			
					3 0.44	
		OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.53	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE	= 2.41	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 2
otes:						

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights

3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score

by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weights.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea

For indicators 1_C3 and 1_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.

* = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores

= Inresholds from the
= Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004