Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Lewisham LEA



OVERALL RATING

Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement
3 star	Proven	Secure

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category ²	3 star	Proven	Secure
Overall Average Score ¹	2.28	1.86	2.00
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Sum of the Weighted scores	41.00	16.72	26.00
	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

- 1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3

'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Lewisham LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

		Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1
	1_A1	1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)*	2.47 3	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* -4.99 1 1_C1 1 Percentage of	f schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 79.75 4
£	1_A2	0.5 2003 KS2 English Average Point Score*	26.80 3	1_B2 0.5 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend -4.17 2 1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - ext	end to which LEA targets resources on priorities 2 1
ent	1_A3	0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score*	26.53 3		S 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school 2 1
oven	1_A4	0.5 2003 KS2-KS3 VA*	99.50 3		S 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school 2 1
school Improvement (1)	1_A5 1_A6 1_A7	1 JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology	100.80 1 2 1 2 1	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.58 2 1_C5 1_EDP Grade 1_B6 0.11 KS3 Sandhematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.85 1 1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.46 5	4 3
Schoo	1_A7 1_A8		2 1	1_B8	
				1_B10	
		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	1.83	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.14	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
ional		l Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹
(2)	2_A1	1 JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN	4 3	2_B1 1 JRS 4.3 - effectiveness of LEA in exercising its SEN functions to support school 3 2 2_C1 1 JRS 4.1 - effectivenest improvement	ctiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN 3 2
Special Educational Needs (2)	2_A2	1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks*	35.80 4	проvenera	
Specie		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	3.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
_		Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹ Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1
(3)	3_A1	•	94.16 3 91.79 3		rall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion 2 1
<u>.</u>	3_A2 3_A3		91.79 3 53.57 3		ctiveness of LEA in promoting racial equality 2 1 f schools graded V or G for climate 81.25 5
sn_	3_A3		95.72 3	3_B4 1 GCSE 1 A*-G precentage Tierrel (2001 to 2003) 0.69 2	Schools graded v or G for climate 61.25 5
Inclusion	3_A5		2 1		
<u>.a</u>	3_A6		3 2		
Social		Traveller children			
		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	2.40	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.33	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.33
Learning I)		Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 Label Weights Indicator	Data Score 1
Ë	4_A1	1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	77.00 3	No Indications 4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effective support of pr	ctiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in 1 1
Long L (4)	4_A2		47.54 4	заррот от рг	Antes
Life		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	3.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.00
nt of		l Weights Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score Label Weights Indicator	Data Score ¹
2) me	5_A1	1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	2 1		s's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations 1 1
nagel tion (4	5_A2	1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making	1 1		tion nt to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 2 1 including Best Value
ic Ma ducat	5_A3 5_A4		3 2 3 2		f schools graded V or G overall 61.25 4
Strategic Management Education (5)		AVERAGE SCORE ² =	1.33	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
		OVERALL AVERAGE SCC	RE ³ = 2.28	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 1.86	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 2.00

Notes:

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights
- 3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weighted.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

- 4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea
- 5. For indicators 1_C3 and 1_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.
- * = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores
- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004