# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

# **Norfolk LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	2.42	3.43	1.80	
SEN	4.00	3.00	2.00	
Social Inclusion	2.60	2.50	2.00	
Life Long Learning	3.50	-	1.00	
Strategic Management	2.33	-	2.00	
Average Score	2.75	3.07	1.85	
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Not Proven	Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Norfolk LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

School Improvement (1)	Label         Indicator         Data         S           1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         3.42           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.71           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.36           1_A4         2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 100.20           1_A5         2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 98.00           1_A6         JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT         4           1_A7         JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         2           1_A8         JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)         1	Score <sup>1</sup> 3 3 3 2 3 3 1 2	1_B1   2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend   2 (0.5)   2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend   2 (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3   (0.5)   3	sta Score 1 1.16 3 1.96 3 1.06 5 1.01 4 1.26 3 1.33 5 1.48 5 1.40 3 1.77 5 1.77 5	Label Indicator  1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities  1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement  1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement  1_C5 EDP Grade	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 78.62         3           4         3           1         1           2         1           2         1
	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.42		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.43		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.80	
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Indicator  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18  2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup> 13.40	Score <sup>1</sup> 3 5		ata Score 1 4 3	Label Indicator  2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 3 2
Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
Social Inclusion (3)	Label         Indicator         Data         5           3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 93.91           3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 90.93           3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 57.14           3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 95.78           3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour         4           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         2	Score 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup>	Score 1 .07 3 .16 2 .09 2 .06 3	Label     Indicator       3_C1     JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion       3_C2     JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism       3_C3     Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 3         2           2         1           92.42         3
Ø	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.60		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
Life Long Learning (4)	Label     Indicator     Data     5       4_A1     16-17 year old participation rates in education and training     73.00       4_A2     Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage     52.01   AVERAGE SCORE =	Score <sup>1</sup> 4 3	Label Indicator D	ata Score 1	Label Indicator  4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities  AVERAGE SC	Data Score 1 2 1  ORE = 1.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label     Indicator     Data     5       5_A1     JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA     3       5_A2     JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making     2       5_A3     JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 6       5_A4     JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 4	Score 1 2 1 5 3	Label Indicator D	ata Score 1	Label Indicator  5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve  5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement  5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score 1           2         1           3         2           68.88         3
Str	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE =		OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 3.07		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 1.85	

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea