Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

North Yorkshire LEA



OVERALL RATING

3 star	Proven	Secure
Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category ²	3 star	Proven	Secure
Overall Average Score ¹	1.94	2.48	1.62
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Sum of the Weighted scores	35.00	22.28	21.00
	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

- 1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3

'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - North Yorkshire LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

	Label Weights Indicator Data S	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹
	1_A1 1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)* 1.33	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* -0.15 3	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 85.52 3
Ξ	1_A2	Decentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend -1.06 1 (2002 to 2004)*	1_C2 1 JRS 1.4 - extend to which LEA targets resources on priorities 2 1
ant	1_A3 0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score* 27.54	1_B3	1_C3 1 JRS 3.11 (JRS 3.10) - planning and provision of services supporting school 2 1
ovem	1_A4	1_B4	improvement 1_C4 1 JRS 3.12 (JRS 3.11) - effectiveness & value for money of services supporting school 1 1
School Improvement (1)	1_A5 0.5 2003 KS3-GCSE VA* 98.20 1_A6 1 JRS 3.3 - support for information and communication technology 3 1_A7 1 JRS 2.1 - LEA's strategy for school improvement 1 1_A8 1 JRS 1 (socio-economic context of LEA) - JRS 2.3 (performance of schools) 0	1_B5 0.11 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.41 3 1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.64 2 1_B7 0.11 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.49 5 1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.22 4 1_B9 1 JRS 2.2 - progress on implementing the LEA's strategy for school improvement 1 1 1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A'-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)* 7.83 1	improvement 1_C5 1 EDP Grade 1 1
	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.92	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.36	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.40
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Weights Indicator Data S 2_A1 1 JRS 4.2 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations in respect of SEN 3 2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks* 79.80	Label Weights Indicator 2_B1 1 JRS 4.3 - effectiveness of LEA in exercising its SEN functions to support school 4 3 improvement	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹ 2_C1 1 JRS 4.1 - effectiveness of LEA's strategy for SEN 3 2
Specia	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00
Social Inclusion (3)	Label Weights Indicator Data S 3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate* 95.26 3_A2 0.5 2004 Secondary Attendance Rate* 92.82 3_A3 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)* 50.00 3_A4 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage* 97.05 3_A5 1 JRS 5.4 - support for behaviour in school 3 3_A6 1 JRS 3.5 - support for behaviour in school 3 Traveller children AVERAGE SCORE 2 =	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 3_C1 1 JRS 5.1 - overall effectiveness of LEA's strategy for promoting social inclusion 3 2 3_C2 1 JRS 5.7 - effectiveness of LEA in promoting racial equality 4 3 3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 96.27 1
50			
Life Long Learning (4)	Label Weights Indicator Data S 4_A1 1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 84.00 4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 57.72 AVERAGE SCORE 2 = 2.00	Label Weights Indicator No Indicatiors AVERAGE SCORE 2	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 4_C1 1 JRS 1.8 - effectiveness of partnerships and collaboration between agencies in support of priorities 3 2 AVERAGE SCORE 2 = 2.00
of L			
Strategic Management c Education (5)	Label Weights Indicator Data S 5_A1 1 JRS 0.2 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 2 5_A2 1 JRS 1.3 - effectiveness of LEA decision-making 3 5_A3 0.5 JRS 2.9 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to the provision of school places 4 5_A4 0.5 JRS 2.10 - effectiveness of LEA in relation to admissions to schools 3 AVERAGE SCORE ² = 1.83	Label Weights Indicator Data Score No Indications AVERAGE SCORE AVERAGE	Label Weights Indicator 5_C1 1 JRS 0.3 - LEA's capacity for further improvement and to address recommendations 2 1 of the inspection 5_C2 1 JRS 1.5 - extent to which LEA has in place effective strategies to promote continuous 3 2 improvement, including Best Value 5_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall AVERAGE SCORE 2 = 1.33
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ =	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 2.48	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 1.62

Notes:

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights
- 3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weighted.

PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.

- 4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea
- 5. For indicators 1_C3 and 1_C4, the JRS scores in brackets are the Spring 2004 equivalents.
- \star = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores
- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004