## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

# Redbridge LEA



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	2.50	3.38	2.60
SEN	3.50	3.00	3.00
Social Inclusion	1.60	3.17	2.67
Life Long Learning	2.50	-	2.00
Strategic Management	2.17	-	2.33
Average Score	2.31	3.25	2.54
Category <sup>1</sup>	3 star	Not Proven	Secure

## Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Redbridge LEA (v.12.11)

Improvement (B) Current Performance ( A ) Capacity (C)

1.1   Percentage of schools causing concern (rectuling handequate sizth form)   5.8   4   1.1   2001-2009 Precentage of secondary schools beauing concern Trend 1***   1.0   5   2.0   1.0   2.0   2.0   1.0   2								
Labe   Indicator   Data   Score	School Improvement (1)	1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         5.           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 27           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 27           1_A4         2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 100           1_A5         2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 100           1_A6         JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT         4           1_A7         JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         4	52 2 25 2 .90 1 .10 1 4 3	1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding 1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B4 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 1_B8 3000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement	1.96 5  2.94 5 0.19 5 0.16 3 0.69 1 0.56 3 1.06 2 0.63 3	1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities     1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement     1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 82.14         2           4         3           4         3           3         2           4         3	
Companies   Comp		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.38		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.60		
Companies   Comp	ial Educational Needs (2)	JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations     Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18	4				Data Score <sup>1</sup> 4 3	
Sample   S	Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		
AVERAGE SCORE = 1.60  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.17  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.17  Label Indicator Data Score 1  4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 97.00 1  4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 50.00 4  AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.17  Label Indicator Data Score 1  4_AC Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 50.00 4  AVERAGE SCORE = 1.60  AVERAGE SCORE = 3.17  AVERAGE SCO	Social Inclusion (3)	3_A1     2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 93       3_A2     2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 93       3_A3     2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 100       3_A4     2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 98       3_A5     JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour     3	81 3 42 1 .00 1 17 1 3 2	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup>	0.20 1 0.33 2 11.90 3	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion     3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 4         3           3         2           91.07         3	
	.,			AVERAGE SCORE = 3.17		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67		
Label Indicator  5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 3 2 2  5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 4 3  5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5) 3 2 2  5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5) 3 2 76  5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5) 3 2 76	Life Long Learning (4)	4_A1     16-17 year old participation rates in education and training     97       4_A2     Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage     50	00 1			4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	Data Score 1 3 2  ORE = 2.00	
AVERAGE SCORE = 2.17 AVERAGE SCORE = 4	Strategic Management of Education (5)	5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	2 3 3 2 1			5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve     5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement     5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	Data Score 1 2 1 5 4 76.79 2	
OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.31  OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 3.25  OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 3.25  OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 3.25	Str							

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea