## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

# **Rochdale LEA**



Office for Standards in Education

Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	2.75	3.03	2.60
SEN	1.00	3.00	3.00
Social Inclusion	3.00	2.33	2.33
Life Long Learning	4.00	-	1.00
Strategic Management	2.33	-	2.67
Average Score	2.69	2.80	2.46
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure

### Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.

- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Rochdale LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance (A)

### Improvement ( B )

Capacity (C)

School Improvement (1)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 1_A1         Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)         2.25         3           1_A2         2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2</sup> <sup>(0.5), 3</sup> 26.58         4           1_A3         2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2</sup> <sup>(0.5), 3</sup> 26.50         3           1_A4         2002 KS2 KS3 VA <sup>2</sup> <sup>(0.5)</sup> 99.20         4           1_A5         2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2</sup> <sup>(0.5)</sup> 99.00         2           1_A6         JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT         4         3           1_A7         JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement         3         2           1_A8         JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)         1         2	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 1_B1         2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.6)</sup> -3.94         1           1_B2         inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 0.00         3           1_B3         2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.08         5           1_B4         2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.13         3           1_B5         2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.48         2           1_B6         2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.48         2           1_B6         2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.78         3           1_B7         2000-2002 CSS Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.78         3           1_B8         2000-2002 CSS Extreage Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.78         3           1_B9         JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement         3         2           1_B10         2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup> -6.30         5	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 1_C1         Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 80.23         3           1_C2         JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities         3         2           1_C3         JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement         4         3           1_C4         JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement         4         3           1_C5         EDP Grade         3         2
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 2_A1         JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations         2         1           Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18         2         1           2_A2         weeks <sup>3</sup> 100.00         1	Label       Indicator       Data       Score <sup>1</sup> 2_B1       JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement       4       3         AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00	Label     Indicator     Data     Score <sup>1</sup> 2_C1     JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN     4     3       AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00
Social Inclusion (3)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>†</sup> 3_A1         2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 94.17         3           3_A2         2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 91.01         3           3_A3         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 42.86         3           3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 94.72         4           3_A5         JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour         4         3           3_A6         JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children         3         2	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>†</sup> 3_B1         2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.30         1           3_B2         2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.70         1           3_B3         Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 4.23         4           3_B4         2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 0.72         2	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 3_C1         JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion         3         2           3_C2         JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism         4         3           3_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup> 94.19         2           AVERAGE SCORE = 2.33
Life Long Learning (4)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>†</sup> 4_A1         16-17 year old participation rates in education and training         70.00         5           4_A2         Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage         58.21         3           AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00	Label Indicator Data Score <sup>1</sup> AVERAGE SCORE =	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 4_C1         JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities         2         1           AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 5_A1         JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA         4         3           5_A2         JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making         3         2           5_A3         JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 3         2           5_A4         JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 3         2	Label Indicator Data Score 1 AVERAGE SCORE =	Label         Indicator         Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 5_C1         JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve         3         2           5_C2         JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement         3         2           5_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup> 65.12         4           AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67
-•	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.69	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.80	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.46

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.

3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores

4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003

5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea