# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Hounslow LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	3.00	3.20	2.60	
SEN	3.00	1.00	2.00	
Social Inclusion	2.50	2.83	2.00	
Life Long Learning	2.50	-	2.00	
Strategic Management	2.50	-	2.67	
Average Score	2.72	2.83	2.38	
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Hounslow LEA (v.12.11)

Improvement (B) Current Performance ( A ) Capacity (C)

Ī						
	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
_	1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)	1.35 2	1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding	-2.29 2	1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup>	76.71 3
School Improvement (1)	1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	26.55 4	1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	0.00 1	1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities	3 2
ē	1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score 2 (0.5), 3	26.85 3	1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.01 5	1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement	3 2
e u	1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	99.20 4	1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.28 2	1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	4 3
§	1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	98.70 3	1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	-0.12 5	1_C5 EDP Grade	4 3
ם	1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT	5 4	1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	0.14 5		
<u> </u>	1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement	4 3	1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	0.63 5		
ĕ	1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	1 2	1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.60 3		
Sct			1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement	3 2		
			1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3	-2.93 5		
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.20		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.60	
_						
ona	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
atic	2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations	5 4	2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	2 1	2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	3 2
s (c	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>	84.33 2				
ы Б	Z_nz woods	04.33 2				
ig ž						
Special Educational Needs (2)	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE S	SCORE = 1.00	AVERAGE SO	ORE = 2.00
0,						
	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
9	3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	93.59 3	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.44 1	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion	3 2
o	3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	92.23 2	3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.36 2	3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	3 2
ïs	3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 3	25.00 4	3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools 3	6.74 3	3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 3	94.52 2
힏	3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup>	95.34 3	3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>	-0.13 4		
<u>=</u>	3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour	2 1				
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	3 2				
o,	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.83		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
<b>-</b> €	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
oug (	4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training	94.00 1			4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	3 2
ri i	4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	47.06 4				
Life Long Learning (4)						
	AVERAGE SCO	RE = 2.50	AVERAGE S	SCORE =	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.00
ţ						
20 jij	Label Indicator	Data Score '	Label Indicator	Data Score	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
age on C	5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	3 2			5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve	3 2
atic	5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)	4 3 4 3			5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	4 3 69.86 3
≥ 3	5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places)  2 (0.5)	4 3			5_C3 Fercentage of schools graded v of G overall	69.86 3
egi E	(authority)	, <u>,</u>				
Strategic Management of Education (5)	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGE SCORE =		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67	
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	APE - 272	OVERALL AVERAGE S	COPE - 292	OVERALL AVERAGE SO	OPE - 2 39
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	ML = Z.1Z	OVERALL AVERAGE S	2.03	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ONE = 2.36

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea