# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **City of Nottingham LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	3.75	2.93	1.80	
SEN	2.50	3.00	3.00	
Social Inclusion	4.00	2.00	3.33	
Life Long Learning	5.00	-	2.00	
Strategic Management	2.83	-	4.00	
Average Score	3.67	2.63	2.67	
Category <sup>1</sup>	1 star	Proven	Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

## Comprehensive Performance Assessment - City of Nottingham LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator  1 B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	Data Score 1	Label Indicator  1 C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 3	Data Score 1 82.46 2
_	1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)	5.08 4	2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern frend 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding	-1.95 2	1_C1 Percentage of schools graded v or G for management and efficiency	82.46 2
School Improvement (1)	1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score 2 (0.5), 3	25.32 5	1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	-2.11 2	1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities	4 3
eu	1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score 2 (0.5), 3	25.61 5	1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend 2 (0.5), 3	0.13 5	1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement	2 1
ē	1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	98.50 5	1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.28 2	1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	3 2
§	1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	97.60 4	1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	0.34 3	1_C5 EDP Grade	2 1
ᇤ	1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT	5 4	1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	0.47 3		
=	1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement	3 2 0 3	1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>	0.72 4		
ě	1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	0 3	1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement	0.66 3		
လွ			1 B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3	0.84 4		
			1_210	0.01		
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.75		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.93		AVERAGE SCORE = 1.80	
<u>ra</u>	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
Į į	2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations	4 3	2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	4 3	2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	4 3
(S g	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18		2_B1 S1GG1 G1GG11G1GG1GG1GG11G1G1G1G1G1GG1GG11GG1GG1		E_O 1 dito 20 ditoditorios di ditalogy for oE11	
Eg S	2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>	77.78 2				
<u>F</u> a						
Special Educational Needs (2)	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGES	SCORE = 3.00	AVERAGE SCI	DRF - 3.00
σ.	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.30		AVENAGE GOOKE - 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00	
	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
9	3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	93.45 4	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.22 1	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion	4 3
io	3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	89.37 4	3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	1.21 1	3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	4 3
<u>s</u>	3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3 A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup>	36.00 4	3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>	49.18 1 -0.12 4	3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 3	86.84 4
<u>ë</u>	3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour	89.72 5 5 4	3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A -G percentage Trend	-0.12 4		
<u>ia</u>	3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	4 3				
Social Inclusion (3)						
	AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.33	
_		1		1		1
Life Long Learning (4)	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
ing Fe	4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	70.00 5 38.71 5			4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	3 2
ärn	4_A2 Insp judgements for referringe v, 6 provision for foundation stage	30.71 3				
Le L	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 5.00	AVERAGE S	SCORE =	AVERAGE SCO	ORE = 2.00
neu (	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
ger (5	5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	3 2			5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve	0
ţi ja	5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making	4 3			5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement	4 3
ž ž	5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)	5 4			5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	53.98 5
E <u>G</u>	5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	4 3				
Strategic Management of Education (5)	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.83	AVERAGE S	SCORE =	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 4.00
	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 3.67	OVERALL AVERAGE S	SCORE = 2.63	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	ORE = 2.67

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea