# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Portsmouth LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement
School Improvement	3.83	2.77	2.80
SEN	2.00	1.00	3.00
Social Inclusion	2.90	2.67	3.00
Life Long Learning	2.00	-	1.00
Strategic Management	1.83	-	3.00
Average Score	2.83	2.54	2.75
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Portsmouth LEA (v.12.11)

Improvement (B) Current Performance ( A ) Capacity (C)

Label   Indicator   Data   Score     Label   Indicator   Data	2 1
2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding	2 1 1 provement 6 5 4
1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.51 4 1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 5.50 1 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities  1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.59 3 1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.14 5 1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement  1_A5 2002 KS3-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 99.10 4 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.30 1 1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement  1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 4 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.66 2	nprovement 6 5 5 4
T_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 26.59 3	5 4
1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 99.10 4 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.30 1 1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 98.00 3 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.63 2 1_C5 EDP Grade 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 4 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.66 2	5 4
1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 98.00 3 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.63 2 1_C5 EDP Grade 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 4 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup> 0.66 2	4 3
1 A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 4 1 B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend 2 (0.167), 3 0,66 2	
	li li
1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 4 3 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0,187), 3</sup> 0.69 4	ļ.
7 1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) -2 5 1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend 2 (0.5), 3 0.43 3	
1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 5 4	
1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3 3.45 2	
AVERAGE SCORE = 3.83 AVERAGE SCORE = 2.77	ERAGE SCORE = 2.80
AVERAGE SCORE = 3.05	ZRAGE SCORE = Z.00
Label Indicator  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 2_A2 weeks 3  AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00	Data Score 1
2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 2 1 2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement 2 1 2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	4 3
Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18	
ਰੂੰ <mark>ਉ</mark> 2_A2 weeks³ 64.00 3	
AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00 AVERAGE SCORE = 1.00	ERAGE SCORE = 3.00
Label Indicator Data Score ' Label Indicator Data Score Label Indicator	Data Score 1
3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (2.5), 3</sup> 93.99 3 3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 0.20 1 3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social included in the control of the second in the second in the control of the second in the secon	on 3 2
5 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate 2 (0.5), 3 90.38 4 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend 2 (0.5), 3 0.69 1 3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	4 3
3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 55.56 3 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools 3 13.10 3 3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 3	87.30 4
할         3_A4         2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage 3         93.13         5         3_B4         2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend 3         -0.36         4	
3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour 3 2	
3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3_A4 2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour 3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 1 1 1  3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1 + A*-G perc	
	ERAGE SCORE = 3.00
Data Score 1 Label Indicator Data Score 1 Label Indicator Data Score 1 Label Indicator	Data Score 1
4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of	riorities 2 1
🖥 📒 4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 72.00 1	
Label Indicator  Label Indicator  Data Score    4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training   77.00   3    4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage   AVERAGE SCORE   2.00    AVERAGE SCORE   2.00   AV	
AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00 AVERAGE SCORE = AVERAGE S	ERAGE SCORE = 1.00
Label Indicator Data Score Label Indicator Data Score Label Indicator	Data Score 1
Label Indicator  5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA  4 3  Label Indicator  Data Score   Label Indicator  5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve	Data Score
5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of decision making 1 1 1 5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve	-
5.C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 5 5.A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 0.5 5.A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 1 5.C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 3 5.C3 Percentage o	70.97 3
2 9 5, A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 3 2	. 2.07
Label Indicator Data Score 1  Span JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 4 3  Span JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5) 3 183 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 2 (0.5) 3 2  AVERAGE SCORE = 1.83	ERAGE SCORE = 3.00
OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.83 OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.54 OVERALL A	ERAGE SCORE = 2.75

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea