# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Richmond-Upon-Thames LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	2.50	2.67	2.00	
SEN	1.50	3.00	4.00	
Social Inclusion	2.80	3.33	2.00	
Life Long Learning	2.50	-	2.00	
Strategic Management	2.33	-	2.33	
Average Score	2.44	2.96	2.23	
Category <sup>1</sup>	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Richmond-Upon-Thames LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
School Improvement (1)	1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)  1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT  1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement  1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	28.77 1 28.48 1 99.90 3 98.80 3 5 4 3 2 -1 4	1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding 1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.67), 3</sup> 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.67), 3</sup> 1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.57), 3</sup> 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) <sup>3</sup>	0.00 1 0.00 1 0.03 5 0.14 3 1.01 1 0.32 5 0.70 4 0.10 4 3 2	1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup> 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities  1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement  1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement  1_C5 EDP Grade	89.13 1 3 2 4 3 3 2 3 2 3 2
	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.67		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Indicator  2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18  2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 3         2           88.60         1	Label Indicator           2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	Data Score <sup>1</sup> 4 3	Label Indicator  2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	<u>Data</u> <u>Score</u> <sup>1</sup> 5 4
Spec	AVERAGE SCORE = 1.50		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00	
Social Inclusion (3)	Label Indicator  3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup> 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour  3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	Data         Score 1           94.92         1           91.33         3           46.15         3           94.02         4           4         3           3         2	Label Indicator  3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 0.36         1           1.09         1           4.65         4           -0.86         5	Label Indicator   3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion 3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 4         3           2         1           93.62         2
S	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.80		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.33		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	
Life Long Learning (4)	Label         Indicator           4_A1         16-17 year old participation rates in education and training           4_A2         Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage   AVERAGE SC	Data         Score 1           71.00         4           68.97         1           ORE =         2.50	Label Indicator  AVERAGE 5	Data Score 1	Label         Indicator           4_C1         JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities   AVERAGE SO	Data Score 1 3 2  CORE = 2.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label Indicator  5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA  5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making  5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 3         2           4         3           4         3           2         1	Label Indicator	Data Score <sup>1</sup>	Label         Indicator           5_C1         JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve           5_C2         JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement           5_C3         Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	Data         Score <sup>1</sup> 4         3           4         3           89.13         1
Straf	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.33	AVERAGE :	SCORE =	AVERAGE SO	ORE = 2.33
	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.44	OVERALL AVERAGE	SCORE = 2.96	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 2.23

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea