## **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

# Sheffield LEA



Office for Standards in Education

| Aspect                | Current performance | Indications of<br>improvement | Capacity to sustain<br>improvement |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| School Improvement    | 3.00                | 3.00                          | 2.40                               |  |
| SEN                   | 2.50                | 3.00                          | 2.00                               |  |
| Social Inclusion      | 3.50                | 3.00                          | 3.00                               |  |
| Life Long Learning    | 3.50                | -                             | 1.00                               |  |
| Strategic Management  | 1.33                | -                             | 2.00                               |  |
| Average Score         | 2.86                | 3.00                          | 2.31                               |  |
| Category <sup>1</sup> | 2 star (lower)      | Proven                        | Secure                             |  |

### Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.

- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Sheffield LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance (A)

#### Improvement ( B )

Capacity (C)

| F  |   |                         |  |                         |   | 1                       |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
|  | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator  | Data Score <sup>1</sup> | Label Indicator   | Data Score <sup>1</sup> |
|  | 1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)   | 2.96 3                  | 1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>  | -2.67 1                 | 1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup>     | 73.89 4                 |
| £  | 4 AQ 2000 KC0 Earlish Austral Daint Care <sup>2</sup> (0.5), 3  | 26.16 4                 | 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding   | 0.00 0                  |   | 3 2                     |
| ŧ  | 1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> 1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> | 26.16 4<br>26.28 3      | 1_B2   inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 1_B3   2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup> | 0.00 3<br>-0.02 5       | 1_C2_JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities                                      | 3 2 2                   |
| me                                       | 1 A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>   | 26.28 3<br>99.70 3      | 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2</sup> (0.5), <sup>3</sup>  | -0.02 5                 | 1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement          | 4 3                     |
| le v                                     | 1 A5 <b>2002 KS3-GCSE VA</b> <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>   | 99.70 3<br>97.80 4      | 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2</sup> (0.167), 3   | 0.63 2                  | 1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement<br>1_C5 EDP Grade | 4 3 3 2                 |
| pro                                      | 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT   | 4 3                     | 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>   | 0.03 2                  | 1_C5 EDF Glade  | 3 2                     |
| School Improvement (1)                   | 1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement   | 3 2                     | 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.167), 3</sup>   | 0.59 5                  |   |                         |
| ō  | 1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)  | 0 3                     | 1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>  | 0.21 4                  |   |                         |
| р;                                       |   |                         | 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement  | 4 3                     |   |                         |
| ŭ  |   |                         | 1_B10_2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3   | 3.29 2                  |   |                         |
|  |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
|  | AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00  |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00   |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.40  |                         |
| onal                                     | Label Indicator   | Data Score <sup>1</sup> | Label Indicator  | Data Score <sup>1</sup> | Label Indicator   | Data Score <sup>1</sup> |
| 2) atic                                  | 2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations   | 3 2                     | 2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement   | 4 3                     | 2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN   | 3 2                     |
| luc<br>s (;                              | Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18<br>2 A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>                         | 66.52 3                 |  |                         |   |                         |
| ed Ec                                    | Z_AZ WEEKS  | 66.52 3                 |  |                         |   |                         |
| k gial                                   |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
| Special Educational<br>Needs (2)         | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.50  |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00   |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  |                         |
|  |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
|  | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator  | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            |
| (3)                                      | 3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>   | 93.89 3                 | 3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>   | 0.37 1                  | 3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion            | 3 2                     |
| uo                                       | 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>   | 90.42 4                 | 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>   | 0.65 1                  | 3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism                              | 4 3                     |
| isi                                      | 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) 3   | 44.19 3                 | 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup>                                       | 3.98 4                  | 3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup>                       | 85.99 4                 |
| ncl                                      | 3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup>  | 92.29 5                 | 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>  | -0.08 4                 |   |                         |
| al                                       | 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour   | 5 4                     |  |                         |   |                         |
| Social Inclusion (3)                     | 3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children   | 3 2                     |  |                         |   |                         |
| ō  | න්<br>AVERAGE SCORE = 3.50  |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00   |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00  |                         |
| ļ  |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
| Ŧ  | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator  | Data Score <sup>1</sup> | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            |
| 7) 6                                     | 4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training   | 75.00 3                 |  |                         | 4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities    | 1 1                     |
| nin Lc                                   | 4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage   | 46.88 4                 |  |                         |   |                         |
| Life Long<br>Learning (4)                |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
| - <u>-</u>                               | AVERAGE SC  | ORE = 3.50              | AVERAGE S  | SCORE =                 | AVERAGE SCC   | ORE = 1.00              |
| Ħ  |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |
| )) ner                                   | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator  | Data Score 1            | Label Indicator   | Data Score 1            |
| ger<br>n (5                              | 5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA  | 3 2                     |  |                         | 5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve  | 2 1                     |
| tion                                     | 5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making  | 2 1                     |  |                         | 5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement              | 2 1                     |
| Ma                                       | 5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>  | 2 1                     |  |                         | 5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>                           | 56.77 4                 |
| Bic<br>Ed.t                              | 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>  | 2 1                     |  |                         |   |                         |
| Strategic Management<br>of Education (5) |   | OPE - 1 22              |  |                         |   |                         |
| Str                                      | AVERAGE SCORE = 1.33  |                         | AVERAGE SCORE =  |                         | AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00  |                         |
|  | OVERALL AVERAGE SC  | ORE = 2.86              | OVERALL AVERAGE S  | SCORE = 3.00            | OVERALL AVERAGE SCO   | ORE = 2.31              |
|  |   |                         |  |                         |   |                         |

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.

For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.

2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.

3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores

4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003

5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea