## Comprehensive Performance Assessment (v.7)

## Please Select LEA:

Stockport


Office for Standards in Education

| Aspect | Current performance | Indications of improvement | Capacity to make further improvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Improvement | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| SEN | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Social Inclusion | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Life Long Learning | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Strategic Management | 1.5 | - | 2.0 |
| Average Score | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Category ${ }^{1}$ | ** | *** |  |

Notes:

1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:

3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.38
2 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.34 but more than 2.38
1 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 3.75 but more than 3.35

- star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

|  | urrent Performance | Improvement | Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Indicator Data Score ${ }^{7}$ <br> Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficien 91.2 1 <br> JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities 3 2 <br> JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school ir 3 2 <br> JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 3 2 <br> EDP Grade 3 2 <br> AVERAGE SCORE $=$ $\square$ |
|  | Indicator Data Score ${ }^{1}$ <br> JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 2 1 <br> Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first 53.0 3 <br> time within 18 weeks   <br>  AVERAGE SCORE $=2.0$  |  Data Score ${ }^{1}$ <br> Indicator   <br> JRS31-effectiveness in exercising functions to support school im/ 1  <br>    <br> AVERAGE SCORE $=1.0$   | Indicator Data Score $^{1}$  <br> JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 2 1 <br>    <br>  AVERAGE SCORE $=1.0$  |
|  | Indicator Data $^{\text {Score }}{ }^{\text { }}$  <br> 2002 Primary Attendance Rate ${ }^{2(0.5)}$ 94.4 3 <br> 2002 Secondary Attendance Rate   <br> 2001 (U.5) 91.8 3 <br> 2001 GCSE $1+A^{*}$-G Percentage (children in public care) 42.9 3 <br> JRS 39 - LEA Support for Behage 96.0 3 <br> JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 4 3 <br> AVERAGE SCORE $=$ $\square$ | Indicator Data $^{\text {Score }}{ }^{\text {1 }}$  <br> 2000-2002 Primary Attendance Rate Trend ${ }^{2(0.5)}$ -0.3 4 <br> 2000-2002 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (0.5) 0.0 <br> Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated intc 17.3 2 <br> 1999-2001 GCSE $1+$ A $^{*}$-G PC Trend 0.1 3 <br> AVERAGE SCORE $=2.8$ | Indicator Data Score $^{{ }^{7}}$ <br> JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social incl 3 2 <br> JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 5 4 <br> Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 96.5 1 <br> AVERAGE SCORE = $\qquad$ 2.3 |
|  |  | Indicator Data Score ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> BV158 Trend (99/00-01/02) $2.40 \frac{2}{2}$ <br>   <br>  AVERAGE SCORE $=2.0$ |  Data Score ' <br> Indicator   <br> JPS 49 - Effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support 3 2 <br> Percentage of $E Y$ settings on a 4 -2yr OFSTED inspection cycle 90.0 3 <br>    <br>  AVERAGE SCORE  |
|  |  | Indicator <br> Data Score ${ }^{1}$ <br>  <br> AVERAGE SCORE $=\square$ | Indicator Data Score ${ }^{1}$ <br> JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 3 2 <br> JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improve 4 3 <br> Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 87.6 1 <br>    <br>  AVERAGE SCORE $=$ $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ <br>    |
|  | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE $=2.4$ | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE $=2.2$ | OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE $=2.0$ |

Notes:

1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1 ; grade 3,4 and 5 equate to scores of 2,3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5 ,
FFor non-JRS indicators scores are calculated so that the 'best' $10 \%$ of LEAs are scored as 1 , the next $20 \%$ as 2 , the middle $40 \%$ as 3 , the next $20 \%$ as 4 , and the 'worst' $10 \%$ are scored as 5 .
2. If the corresponding 'pertormance' indicator is scored at 1 , variable is also automatically given a score of
