# **Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003**

## **Waltham Forest LEA**



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	3.42	3.00	3.20	
SEN	4.00	5.00	5.00	
Social Inclusion	3.30	2.33	3.67	
Life Long Learning	3.50	-	3.00	
Strategic Management	3.50	•	3.67	
Average Score	3.47	3.00	3.54	
Category <sup>1</sup>	1 star	Proven	Not Secure	

### Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
  - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
  - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
  - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
  - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
  - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

#### Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Waltham Forest LEA (v.13.1)

Current Performance ( A ) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

[						
	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
_	1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)	4.94 4	1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup> 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding	-3.05 1	1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency <sup>3</sup>	70.83 5
School Improvement (1)	1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	25.90 5	1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	2.76 4	1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities	4 3
en	1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score 2 (0.5), 3	25.88 4	1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend 2 (0.5), 3	0.08 5	1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement	5 4
e a	1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	99.60 3	1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.00 4	1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement	3 2
Ş	1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	101.30 1	1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.11), 3</sup>	0.30 3	1_C5 EDP Grade	3 2
ᅙ	1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT	6 5	1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.11), 3</sup>	0.22 5		
=	1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement	3 2	1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend 2 (0.11), 3	0.68 4		
ě	1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance)	0 3	1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend <sup>2 (0.67), 3</sup>	1.46 1 4 3		
လွ			1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement  1_B10_2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) 3	2.66 3		
			1_D10 2000 2002 0002 0177 01 010011.ago 1101.a (001.0010 42070 111 1000)	2.00		
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.42		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.20	
<u>a</u>	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
Į į	2_A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations	5 4	2_B1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement	Data Score	2_C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	Data Score
(S g	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18		2_B1 S1GG1 GHOGH GHOGH GHOGH GHOGH HIPPOTONION	0 0	2_51 Vive 25 Vive interview of all diagy for 62.1	0
Eg S	2_A2 weeks <sup>3</sup>	44.00 4				
<u>F</u> a						
Special Educational Needs (2)	AVERAGE SCORE = 4.00		AVERAGE S	SCORE = 5.00	AVERAGE SC	ORE - 5.00
σ.	7 AVENAGE GOOKE - 4.00		AVEITAGE GOOKE = GOO		AVERAGE SCORE = 5.00	
	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
9	3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	93.68 3	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.24 1	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion	4 3
io	3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	92.06 2	3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend <sup>2 (0.5), 3</sup>	0.53 1	3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism	4 3
<u> </u>	3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) <sup>3</sup> 3 A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage <sup>3</sup>	26.92 4 95.29 3	3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools <sup>3</sup> 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend <sup>3</sup>	4.89 4 0.43 2	3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate <sup>3</sup>	79.45 5
<u>=</u>	3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour	5 4	3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A -G percentage Trend	0.43 2		
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	4 3				
Š						
	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.30		AVERAGE SCORE = 2.33		AVERAGE SCORE = 3.67	
Life Long Learning (4)	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
ing Lo	4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage	82.00 2 38.10 5			4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	4 3
arn	4_A2 Insp judgements for rescendinge v, 6 provision for foundation stage	36.10 5				
Le L	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 3.50	AVERAGE S	SCORE =	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 3.00
neu (	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1	Label Indicator	Data Score 1
gen (5	5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA	5 4			5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve	4 3
tio	5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making	4 3			5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement	4 3
Za Ica	5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 2 (0.5)	4 3			5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall <sup>3</sup>	42.25 5
를 를	5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) <sup>2 (0.5)</sup>	5 4				
Strategic Management of Education (5)	AVERAGE SCO	ORE = 3.50	AVERAGE S	SCORE =	AVERAGE SC	ORE = 3.67
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCO	ORE = 3.47	OVERALL AVERAGE S	SCORE = 3.00	OVERALL AVERAGE SC	ORE = 3.54

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea