Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2004 (v.14.07)

Westminster LEA



OVERALL RATING

2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure
Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category ²	2 star (lower)	Proven	Secure
Overall Average Score ¹	2.81	2.40	2.77
Sum of Weights	18.00	9.00	13.00
Sum of the Weighted scores	50.50	21.56	36.00
	Current Performance	Indications of Improvement	Capacity to Sustain Improvement

- 1. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It multiplies each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the **sum of the weighted scores** by the **sum of the weights** (shown above). In a few instances where indicators are not applicable to some LEAs, the sum of the weighted scores and the sum of the weights are adjusted accordingly (i.e. the indicator(s) and its weight(s) are not included in the calculations). The overall average score is then compared to the category thresholds (see 2 below) and the final category determined. Further details on the indicators used can be found on the scorecard and the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Overall Average Score thresholds for the Current Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the overall average score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the overall average score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the overall average score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the overall average score is greater than 3.75

The Overall average score thresholds for the improvement categories are as follows:

'Proven' and 'Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are less than or equal to 3

'Not Proven' and 'Not Secure' are obtained if the overall average scores are greater than 3

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Westminster LEA (v.14.07)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1
	1_A1 1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms)* 0.00 1	1_B1 0.5 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.00 1	1_C1 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency 91.67 1
chool Improvement (1)	1_A2 0.5 2003 KS2 English Average Point Score* 27.09 3 1_A3 0.5 2003 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score* 27.14 2	December 2015 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) Trend	1_C2
e /e	1_A4	1_B4	1_C4 1 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 4 3
õ	1_A5 0.5 2003 KS3-GCSE VA* 100.00 1	1_B5	1_C5 1 EDP Grade 3 2
Ĕ	1_A6 1 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 5 4	1_B6 0.11 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.62 2	
=	1_A7 1 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement 3 2 1_A8 1 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) 0 3	1_B7	
욙	1_A8 1 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) 0 3	1_B8 0.67 GCSE Average Point Score Trend (2001 to 2003)* 1.28 1 1_B9 1 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 3 2	
တိ		1_B10 1 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) (2001 to 2003)* 2.45 3	
	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.58	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.51	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.40
"			
Special Educational Needs (2)	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1
_ = =	2_A1 1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obligations 3 2	2_B1 1 JRS31 - effectiveness in exercising functions to support school improvement 4 3	2_C1 1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN 6 5
nal (2	2_A2 1 Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 weeks* 58.10 3		··
Spe C io			
nce	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 5.00
В	//VEIVIOE 000/KE = 2.50	WEINIGE GOOKE = 0.00	//VEIVIOE 300/KE = 3.00
<u>@</u>	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 3 A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate* 94.18 3	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1
<u>-</u>	3_A1 0.5 2004 Primary Attendance Rate* 94.18 3 3_A2 0.5 2004 Secondary Attendance Rate* 91.98 2	3_B1 0.5 Primary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.36 1 3_B2 0.5 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend (2002 to 2004)* 0.69 1	3_C1 1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion 4 3 3_C2 1 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 4 3
. <u>S</u>	3_A3 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care)* 46.43 3	3_B3 1 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools* 6.84 3	3_C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate 93.75 3
킁	3 A4 1 2003 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage* 95.39 3	3_B4 1 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend (2001 to 2003)* 0.51 2	- Constitution of the control of the
트	3_A5 1 JR\$ 39 - LEA support for behaviour 3 2		
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A6 1 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children 4 3		
တိ	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.70	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.00	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.70	AVERAGE SCORE = 2.00	AVERAGE SCORE = 3.00
g (4)	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score	Label Weights Indicator Data Score ¹
e g	4_A1 1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 77.00 3	No Indicatiors	4_C1 1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities 4 3
i e	4_A2 1 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage 48.65 4		
Life Long Learning (4)	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.50	AVERAGE SCORE ² = -	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.00
_	WEISIGE 655.12 - 6.65	WEIWIGE 655/12 -	/// E// / (62 666/12 =
જે હ	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 5_A1 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 4 3	Label Weights Indicator Data Score No Indicatiors	Label Weights Indicator Data Score 1 5 C1 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 2 1
n (;	5_A1 1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 4 3 5_A2 1 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 4 3	ivo indicators	5_C1 1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 2 1 5_C2 1 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 4 3
ti ji te	5 A3 0.5 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) 5 4		5 C3 1 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall 70.83 3
Stra	5_A4 0.5 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) 4 3		
Strategic Management of Education (5)		. —	
_	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 3.17	AVERAGE SCORE ² =	AVERAGE SCORE ² = 2.33
	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.8	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE 3 = 2.40	OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE ³ = 2.77
	OTENALE ATENADE GOOKE - Z.O	STEINE WELL ATENAGE GOOKE - 2.70	STEINEE ATENAGE GOOKE = ZIT

Notes:

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5.
- For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. The average score for each aspect within a perspective is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score in the aspect by its associated weight, added together (i.e the sum of the weighted scores) and then divided by the sum of the weights
- 3. The Overall Average Score for each perspective is calculated using all indicators in the perspective across the 5 aspects. It is not the aggregated average of the average scores FOR EACH ASPECT within the perspective shown above. It is calculated by multiplying each indicator's score by its associated weight (i.e. the weighted score), and then dividing the sum of the weighted scores by the sum of the weighted.
- PLEASE NOTE: Where an indicator is not applicable, this indicator and its weighting are not included in the calculations in notes 2 and 3 above.
- 4. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea
- * = Thresholds from the 2002/2003 model used to calculate scores
- = Not applicable

BOLD = changes to the model for 2004