Comprehensive Performance Assessment - 2003

Southend-On-Sea LEA



Aspect	Current performance	Indications of improvement	Capacity to sustain improvement	
School Improvement	2.25	3.00	1.20	
SEN	1.50	3.00	3.00	
Social Inclusion	3.80	2.33	2.67	
Life Long Learning	3.00	-	1.00	
Strategic Management	1.50	-	2.00	
Average Score	2.56	2.78	1.85	
Category ¹	2 star (upper)	Proven	Secure	

Notes:

- 1. Details of how categories are scored can be found in the CPA Education Guidelines.
- 2. The Average Score thresholds for the Performance star ratings are as follows:
 - 3 star is obtained if the Average Score is less than or equal to 2.37
 - 2 star (upper) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.37 but less than or equal to 2.79
 - 2 star (lower) is obtained if the Average Score is more than 2.79 but less than or equal to 3.34
 - 1 star is obtained if the Average Score is more than 3.34 but less than or equal to 3.75
 - star is obtained if the Average Score is greater than 3.75

Comprehensive Performance Assessment - Southend-On-Sea LEA (v.12.11)

Current Performance (A) Improvement (B) Capacity (C)

School Improvement (1)	Label Indicator 1_A1 Percentage of schools causing concern (excluding inadequate sixth forms) 1_A2 2002 KS2 English Average Point Score ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_A3 2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_A4 2002 KS2-KS3 VA ^{2 (0.5)} 1_A5 2002 KS3-GCSE VA ^{2 (0.5)} 1_A6 JRS 14 - support to schools for raising standards in curriculum use of ICT 1_A7 JRS 4 - effectiveness of strategy for school improvement	Data Score ¹ 1.85 3 27.31 3 27.13 2 100.50 2 97.80 4 3 2 2 1	Label Indicator 1_B1 2001-2003 Percentage of primary schools causing concern Trend ^{2 (0.5)} 2001-2003 Percentage of secondary schools causing concern (excluding 1_B2 inadequate sixth forms) Trend ^{2 (0.5)} 1_B3 2000-2002 KS2 English Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_B4 2000-2002 KS2 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_B5 2000-2002 KS3 English Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.167), 3} 1_B6 2000-2002 KS3 Mathematics Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.167), 3} 1_B7 2000-2002 KS3 Science Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.167), 3}	Data Score ¹ -1.16 1 0.00 3 0.30 4 0.18 2 0.57 2 0.51 3 0.68 4	Label Indicator 1_C1 Percentage of schools graded V or G for management and efficiency ³ 1_C2 JRS 6 - allocation of resources to priorities 1_C3 JRS 25 - performance management of services to support school improvement 1_C4 JRS 27 - effectiveness of services to support school improvement 1_C5 EDP Grade	Data Score ¹ 88.00 1 2 1 2 1 3 2 2 1
	1_A8 JRS 1 (Context) - JRS 2 (Performance) AVERAGE SC Label Indicator 2 A1 JRS 30 - effectiveness of LEA in meeting statutory obliqations	1 2 DRE = 2.25 Data Score 1 3 2	1_B8 2000-2002 GCSE Average Point Score Trend ^{2 (0.5), 3} 1_B9 JRS 5 - progress in implementing strategy for school improvement 1_B10 2000-2002 GCSE 5+ A*-C Percentage Trend (Schools <25% in 1999) ³	0.69 3 3 2 -4.44 5 SCORE = 3.00	AVERAGE SO Label Indicator 2,C1 JRS 29 - effectiveness of strategy for SEN	Data Score 1
Special Educational Needs (2)	Percentage of pupils for whom a statement is issued for the first time within 18 2_A2 weeks ³ AVERAGE SC	89.00 1		SCORE = 3.00 Data Score 1	-	CORE = 3.00
Social Inclusion (3)	3_A1 2003 Primary Attendance Rate ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_A2 2003 Secondary Attendance Rate ^{2 (0.5), 3} 3_A3 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage (children in public care) ³ 3_A4 2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G Percentage ³ 3_A5 JRS 39 - LEA support for behaviour 3_A6 JRS 16 - support for EM and Traveller children	94.21 3 91.54 3 36.84 4 94.44 4 5 4 5 4	3_B1 2001-2003 Primary Attendance Rate Trend ² (0.5), ³ 3_B2 2001-2003 Secondary Attendance Rate Trend ² (0.5), ³ 3_B3 Percentage of pupils receiving alternative tuition reintegrated into schools ³ 3_B4 2000-2002 GCSE 1+ A*-G percentage Trend ³	0.36 1 0.39 1 20.75 2 -0.36 4	3_C1 JRS 33 - overall effectiveness of the LEA in promoting social inclusion 3_C2 JRS 42 - effectiveness of the LEA in combating racism 3_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G for climate ³	4 3 5 4 98.00 1
Life Long Learning (4)	Label Indicator 4_A1 16-17 year old participation rates in education and training 4_A2 Insp judgements for Percenatge V, G provision for foundation stage AVERAGE SC	Data Score ¹ 77.00 3 57.14 3	Label Indicator AVERAGE S	Data Score 1	Label Indicator 4_C1 JRS 49 - effectiveness of the co-ordination of actions in support of priorities	Data Score 1 2 1 CORE = 1.00
Strategic Management of Education (5)	Label Indicator 5_A1 JRS 52 - overall effectiveness of the LEA 5_A2 JRS 45 - effectiveness of decision making 5_A3 JRS 34 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (places) ^{2 (0.5)} 5_A4 JRS 36 - extent to which LEA meets its requirements (admissions) ^{2 (0.5)} AVERAGE SC	Data Score 1 3 2 2 1 2 1 3 2 ORE = 1.50	Label Indicator AVERAGE S	Data Score 1	Label Indicator 5_C1 JRS 51 - capacity of the LEA to improve 5_C2 JRS 7 - effectiveness of strategies to promote continuous improvement 5_C3 Percentage of schools graded V or G overall ³ AVERAGE SC	Data Score ¹ 3 2 2 1 75.51 3 CORE = 2.00
OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.56		OVERALL AVERAGE S	SCORE = 2.78	OVERALL AVERAGE SO	ORE = 1.85	

- 1. For JRS indicators scores are calculated so that; grades 1 and 2 equate to a score of 1; grade 3, 4 and 5 equate to scores of 2, 3 and 4 respectively; and grades 6 and 7 equate to a score of 5. For non-JRS indicators scores please consult CPA guidance.
- 2. Variables given a weighting when calculating average. The weighting applied is given in brackets.
- 3. Thresholds from the 2002 model used to calculate scores
- 4. BOLD = changes to the model for 2003
- 5. For more information on the indicators, please see the 'Contents and Operation of the Education Profile' at www.ofsted.gov.uk/lea