

St Joseph's Catholic Primary School

Lidgett Lane, Dinnington, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S25 2QD

Inspection dates

1-2 February 2017

Overall effectiveness	Requires improvement
Effectiveness of leadership and management	Requires improvement
Quality of teaching, learning and assessment	Requires improvement
Personal development, behaviour and welfare	Requires improvement
Outcomes for pupils	Requires improvement
Early years provision	Requires improvement
Overall effectiveness at previous inspection	Not previously inspected

Summary of key findings for parents and pupils

This is a school that requires improvement

- Teaching is not consistently good enough for pupils to make the progress they should. Teachers' expectations are too low of disadvantaged pupils.
- Pupils are enthusiastic about the school's new behaviour policy. Not all teachers are implementing this consistently; consequently, some pupils are not always focused on their learning.
- The disadvantaged pupils and those who have special educational needs and/or disabilities make slow progress. On occasions, support is not used effectively or sufficiently well focused on their needs.
- Teachers' assessment is sometimes not accurate and work set can be too easy or too hard. When pupils have misunderstood, this is not always addressed sufficiently quickly.

The school has the following strengths

- This is a caring school. Pupils say they feel safe and the school follows correct procedures to ensure the safety and well-being of pupils.
- The new executive headteacher and her deputy have had an immediate impact. They are raising expectations of the quality of teaching and of pupils' behaviour, and engaging some difficult-to-reach families.

- Expectations of the youngest children in the early years are too low. Assessment is inaccurate and sometimes activities provided for children do not move learning on fast enough or challenge their thinking.
- Governors have been too reliant on information given by the headteacher. Their reticence in challenging senior staff has meant they have not always had an accurate understanding of the quality of teaching.
- Some subject leaders are inexperienced. They are not well equipped with the knowledge and skills to have an accurate overview of how pupils in the school are doing.

- Parents are positive about the school. A family reading event during the inspection was well supported and pupils' enjoyment of books was clearly evident.
- The curriculum is well planned, giving the pupils a rich experience of different topics. Pupils say they find learning interesting and this motivates them to learn.



Full report

What does the school need to do to improve further?

- Improve the quality of teaching so pupils make good progress by:
 - having higher expectations of all pupils, including the disadvantaged
 - consistently managing pupils' behaviour in line with the school's policy
 - ensuring that assessment of pupils' work is accurate
 - intervening quickly in lessons to correct misunderstandings
 - setting work at the right level of difficulty for pupils
 - providing well-targeted support for pupils who have special educational needs and/or disabilities.
- Improve the quality of provision in the early years by:
 - developing the leadership so roles are understood
 - ensuring that assessment is accurate
 - raising expectations of what children should achieve
 - planning suitable activities which challenge children's thinking
 - providing tasks that build on the skills children need to learn.
- Strengthen leadership and management by:
 - developing skills and expertise of subject leaders so they have an accurate overview of the school's performance
 - evaluating the impact of changes made to improve pupils' achievement
 - improving governors' knowledge of how well pupils are doing so they can hold the school to account.

It is recommended that an external review is undertaken of the school's use of the pupil premium.



Inspection judgements

Effectiveness of leadership and management

- The executive headteacher and her deputy have brought about a sense of urgency to improving the quality of teaching and learning at St Joseph's. Their vision for the school and high expectations of pupils and teachers is having an immediate impact.
- They have accurately identified the strengths and weaknesses of the school and have quickly set about ensuring that the quality of teaching is consistently good. However, the short time they have been in post means that there is limited impact on pupils' achievements, including the disadvantaged pupils.
- Leaders have used the pupil premium funding to provide additional teaching for the disadvantaged pupils, some of whom have special educational needs and/or disabilities. Senior leaders are now monitoring how well these pupils are doing and providing additional support. This recent scrutiny and focused support has not had enough time to make a significant difference to ensure that these groups of pupils catch up.
- Until very recently, the support and guidance for pupils who have special educational needs and/or disabilities has been inconsistent. As a result, these pupils have not always made the progress they should. The school has recently appointed a new special educational needs coordinator to ensure good provision for this group of pupils.
- The school has developed a system for tracking the attainment and progress of pupils. A lack of robust moderation has meant that teachers' assessments are not entirely reliable. This means that subject leaders are not able to get an accurate view of how well pupils are progressing across the school.
- There has been some turbulence in staffing and leadership during the last year. As a result, some subject leaders have been given responsibility for which they are not fully equipped. A lack of professional support and development over time means that some leaders do not have a clear overview of their area of responsibility and are not fully proficient in evaluating changes that have been introduced.
- The management of teacher performance has not been rigorous. Targets have been linked to pupils' progress and attainment. However, recommendations about pay increases have not been robustly linked to the achievement of these.
- The new executive headteacher has quickly enlisted the confidence of parents and consulted with them on what they would like to improve. As a result, parents have a positive view of the school and its caring ethos.
- The school's curriculum is a real strength, providing enjoyment and enrichment. As a result, pupils are keen to learn. Some of the disadvantaged pupils told inspectors that recent changes had made them much more enthusiastic. The introduction of the 'Bloodhound project', where pupils design and build a vehicle to race in a competition, is motivating this group of pupils.
- High-quality displays around the school reflect the vibrant range of subjects. Classrooms are well organised and provide an attractive environment for pupils to work. Pupils proudly demonstrate examples of good work across all subject areas and



they convey a real sense of enjoyment in their learning experiences.

- The use of primary school sports funding has been recently reviewed. It now provides better value for money and is used more effectively to improve the skills of teachers to deliver good-quality physical education lessons. Partnership with the local high school and their physical education staff brings to the school a high level of expertise and support for the teachers. This also provides opportunities in the immediate locality for all pupils to participate in more sports and represent the school in competitive games.
- The curriculum successfully develops the spiritual, moral, social and cultural development of pupils. Pupils' spirituality is promoted through participation in regular prayers, as well as writing their own in lessons. Pupils' moral views were demonstrated very clearly as they argued passionately for the plight of refugees through their letters to Donald Trump, appealing to his social conscience.

Governance of the school

- Governors are committed to supporting the school but have not been robust in holding the senior leaders to account and challenging the headteacher. Consequently, they do not have a secure understanding of the quality of teaching across the school.
- Governors are aware that disadvantaged pupils do not achieve as well as other pupils and have allocated resources to support this group. Evaluating what difference these resources are making to the outcomes of disadvantaged pupils has not been sufficiently thorough to enable these pupils to make better progress.
- Governors understand that the progress pupils make should be the main driver when awarding pay increases. The awarding of pay increases has not followed this policy robustly because governors have not had sufficient oversight of this process.
- Governors are fully conversant with their statutory duties for safeguarding, ensuring that staff are recruited with careful consideration.

Safeguarding

- The arrangements for safeguarding are effective.
- The school's systems and processes for safeguarding pupils are good. The school has been effective in engaging hard-to-reach families and those experiencing difficulties.
- Higher expectations of pupil attendance and punctuality have already started to make a difference in reducing absence rates of some pupils.
- The school follows correct procedures to ensure that pupils are safe. It takes appropriate action when necessary, refers to and communicates with outside agencies and follows up concerns. It has been highly effective in brokering support for some vulnerable families.
- The school checks all members of staff before they are recruited and keeps an accurate and up-to-date single central record which is checked by governors.
- Teachers and pupils are aware of the school's procedures for safeguarding. Training for staff is up to date and they are aware of their obligations in preventing radicalisation and extremism.
- Pupils say they feel safe and nearly all parents agree. The school is keen to give pupils



the opportunities to go on school trips and plans these with appropriate risk assessments so that pupils are safe at all times.

Quality of teaching, learning and assessment

- Not all teachers have the same high expectations of pupils' achievements, particularly those pupils who are disadvantaged. The school's new policy provides a clear structure for managing pupils' behaviour and conduct. However, not all teachers implement the policy consistently. In lessons, some pupils lose concentration and motivation, which slows down their progress.
- Teachers' assessment is not always accurate and this means that sometimes work is not pitched at the right level of difficulty. Sometimes work is too easy or so challenging that pupils do not complete the work and, as a result, they do not achieve as well as they should.
- Parents speak highly of the support and intervention for those pupils who have severe and medical special educational needs. At times, support in class for those pupils with more moderate learning difficulties is not well targeted and adults spend too much time managing tasks rather than on focused intervention.
- Teachers have a lively and engaging way of presenting new topics to the pupils but sometimes miss opportunities to correct misunderstandings. Learning time is then wasted while pupils do work incorrectly.
- In mathematics, teachers provide good feedback to pupils on how they could improve or correct their work. On occasions, when pupils have a choice of different levels of challenge, they will choose an easier option; as a result, their progress slows up.
- The school is currently reviewing the way reading is taught. Although pupils enjoy reading, the teaching of reading is not consistent across the school and some staff have not fully grasped how to implement the new approach.
- Pupils have good opportunities to write for different purposes and a range of different audiences. Much of the work in books is in the form of short exercises, limiting the occasions when pupils can practise and apply their skills to lengthier pieces of work. As a result, pupils' improvement in handwriting and punctuation is slower than it should be.
- Teachers are creative in their approach to engaging pupils' interests. Pupils learning about the plight of refugees were writing letters to Donald Trump. They were animated in their views and articulated their opinions, bringing energy and flair to their writing.
- The teaching of curriculum subjects such as design and technology, history and geography is well structured through topics and results in some good-quality work. Pupils' books showed that they are able to use the skills they have learned in English to write effectively in different subjects.



Personal development, behaviour and welfare

Requires improvement

Personal development and welfare

- The school's work to promote pupils' personal development and welfare is good.
- The school provides good opportunities for pupils to take responsibility in roles such as the buddy squad, sports ambassadors and school council representatives.
- Funding is used to provide opportunities for disadvantaged pupils to take part in projects and go on school trips. This is having a positive impact on their attitudes in school and attendance.
- Input through lessons, and visitors to school talking about staying safe, means that pupils have a good understanding of different types of bullying and the impact on victims. They say bullying does not happen often and they feel the school keeps them safe.
- Pupils have learned how to keep themselves safe in a variety of situations, including using the internet. They have a well-developed awareness of the risks of using social media and a good understanding of cyber-bullying and bullying related to gender and race. They know what to do if bullying occurs.
- The school's Catholic ethos promotes effective reflection on faith, culture and personal choices. A range of visitors to the school to share their faiths and culture has resulted in pupils' thoughtful consideration of different beliefs and life choices. They demonstrate a reflective understanding of British values, which prepares them well for life in modern Britain.

Behaviour

- The behaviour of pupils requires improvement.
- The new leadership has already been effective in raising the aspirations of pupils. They have set clear boundaries for behaviour and expectations for attendance and punctuality, and this is starting to have an impact. However, too many pupils have absences or are late to school.
- In lessons where teachers are not pitching work at an appropriate level or implementing the school's behaviour policy, some pupils become distracted and lose concentration.
- The attitude of the majority of pupils is good and many are enthusiastic about the variety in the curriculum and the different subjects they learn. They rightly take a pride in some of the high-quality work displayed around the school.
- Most pupils are well mannered and courteous. They show respect for the views of others and they have good relationships with each other and the adults in school. They play cooperatively in the playground and move around the school in an orderly way.



Outcomes for pupils

- The outcomes at the end of key stage 2 have steadily improved in writing. Achievement over time in reading and mathematics has been broadly in line with the national average. Last year, the progress pupils made in mathematics from their starting points was well below the national average and too few of the most able pupils achieved higher standards.
- Pupils are now making better progress in mathematics. Teachers are making sure pupils have a secure understanding of basic mathematical skills before moving on to a new area of learning. This means that progress for all pupils is now picking up speed. Pupils' books show that the most able pupils are well challenged in mathematics and working at appropriate levels for their age.
- The outcomes at the end of key stage 1 had been broadly average until last year. In 2016, the progress, particularly of the least able, in reading, writing and mathematics was well below the national average. No pupil achieved the higher standard, working at greater depth, in mathematics.
- The achievement of the disadvantaged pupils in both key stages 1 and 2 has consistently been below that of other pupils in school as well as those nationally and too few achieve higher levels. The school's own assessment information indicates that this is still an issue, although pupils' books show that rates of progress for this group are similar to other pupils', but not fast enough to catch up and achieve well.
- Children leave the early years with weak reading and writing skills. This is reflected in pupils' low achievement in phonics at the end of Year 1, where standards have been consistently below the national average, and it is only when pupils get into Year 2 that they catch up.
- The quality of teaching phonics is still variable. Pupils do not always form their letters accurately or write down the correct letters to match sounds. This is not consistently picked up by teachers and so pupils' progress is not secure and is hindering their ability to spell basic words in their writing.
- Pupils of all ages enjoy books and the most able readers can discuss their preferences for different authors and compare differences and similarities in authors' styles. The younger pupils are able to use their phonics to decode words. They talk enthusiastically about what they are reading, enjoying the content or humour in books.
- Across the school, the progress of pupils who have special educational needs and/or disabilities is patchy. This is acknowledged by the school. There is some good provision for those pupils with the severest need. While there is some skilled support in classes, teachers do not use assessment sharply enough to plan activities which develop precisely the skills and knowledge of pupils with more moderate learning difficulties.
- Pupils achieve well in art, history and geography because the teaching of these subjects is well supported by resources and visits. Creative projects in design and technology create a high level of pupils' interest. Pupils had to design and make a house out of marshmallows and cocktail sticks to test resistance when placed on top of jelly. This helped pupils to understand the impact of severe weather conditions as well as the resistance of certain materials.
- Achievement in science is less secure. Pupils have had limited opportunities to design



their own experiments, and this is something they are keen to do.

Early years provision

- Provision across the early years is inconsistent. The Nursery provides a good start for those children who then transfer to the school. Children enter the Reception class with skills and knowledge typical of their age.
- Teachers' assessment of the youngest children has not been accurate: this has resulted in low expectations of what these children could achieve, and for the most able children there is a lack of challenge. As a result, children make no better than expected progress from their starting points. Too few are entering key stage 1 with the necessary skills in reading and writing.
- Although the staff know the children well, this information is not used effectively to plan purposeful activities so children make rapid progress. Insufficient monitoring of children's access to the range of activities means that too often boys opt out of the more focused work of reading and writing, and this is reflected in their slower rate of progress in these subjects.
- While children are happily doing something independently, adults do not always correct misunderstandings. Too often children are writing numbers and letters incorrectly and this is not addressed or demonstrated by the adults so children can learn from a good example.
- The needs of individual children, including those who have special educational needs and/or disabilities, are not always as well met as they could be.
- Leaders in the early years do not have a secure understanding of their strategic role. The analysis of data to compare how well children do at St Joseph's when compared to the national average is still at an early stage of development.
- Leaders have been successful in improving links between home and school. The new home-school books and 'stay and play' sessions have been warmly received by parents, who feel well informed about what their child's learning.
- Routines are well established throughout the early years and children's behaviour is good both in the classroom and in the outside area. They take turns and cooperate well with each other and the adults. They are confident and willing to initiate conversation and keenly share their work, showing a high degree of motivation.
- The welfare and safety of the youngest children are given the correct priority in the early years. Staff are responsive to children's personal needs. Transition arrangements are being developed and all health and safety requirements are met. The unit is a safe place for children.



School details

Unique reference number	140590
Local authority	Rotherham
Inspection number	10023850

This inspection was carried out under section 8 of the Education Act 2005. The inspection was also deemed a section 5 inspection under the same Act.

Type of school	Primary
School category	Academy converter
Age range of pupils	3 to 11
Gender of pupils	Mixed
Number of pupils on the school roll	199
Appropriate authority	Academy trust
Chair	Claire McKinney
Executive Headteacher	Amanda Wassell
Telephone number	01909 550123
Website	www.hallam-diocese.com
Email address	stbedesheadteacher@rotherham.gov.uk
Date of previous inspection	Not previously inspected

Information about this school

- This is a smaller than average-sized primary school.
- The majority of pupils are White British.
- The proportion of disadvantaged pupils supported by the pupil premium is higher than average.
- The proportion of pupils who have special educational needs and/or disabilities is higher than average.
- The school meets the government's current floor standards, which set the minimum expectations for pupils' attainment and progress in English and mathematics by the end of Year 6.
- The school does not comply with Department for Education guidance on what academies should publish about its accessibility plan or its strategy for the use of pupil premium funding.



The school does not meet requirements on the publication of specified information on its website. It has not provided information on the impact of using the sports premium, the special educational needs policy is out of date and there is no charging and remissions policy available.



Information about this inspection

- The inspection was converted from a section 8 inspection to a section 5 inspection. On the second day, the lead inspector was joined by a team of two more inspectors.
- The inspectors visited lessons in all classrooms, some with the executive headteacher and/or the deputy headteacher. In addition, the inspectors observed small groups of pupils being taught.
- The inspectors scrutinised pupils' work, heard pupils read and spoke to pupils about their enjoyment of school and their opinions of behaviour and safety.
- The inspectors held discussions with staff and governors.
- A wide range of school documents were taken into account as part of the evidence, including the school's self-evaluation, its school development plan, behaviour and attendance records, governing body documents and documents relating to the monitoring of teachers' performance.
- Twelve parents submitted responses to Parent View and parents' written comments were considered by the inspection team, who also talked to parents as well as take into consideration the school questionnaire parents completed in January 2017.

Inspection team

Karen Heath, lead inspector	Ofsted Inspector
Kirsty Godfrey	Her Majesty's Inspector
Larissa Thorpe	Ofsted Inspector



Any complaints about the inspection or the report should be made following the procedures set out in the guidance 'Raising concerns and making a complaint about Ofsted', which is available from Ofsted's website: www.gov.uk/government/publications/complaints-about-ofsted. If you would like Ofsted to send you a copy of the guidance, please telephone 0300 123 4234, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

In the report, 'disadvantaged pupils' refers to those pupils who attract government pupil premium funding: pupils claiming free school meals at any point in the last six years and pupils in care or who left care through adoption or another formal route. www.gov.uk/pupil-premium-information-for-schools-and-alternative-provision-settings.

You can use Parent View to give Ofsted your opinion on your child's school. Ofsted will use the information parents and carers provide when deciding which schools to inspect and when and as part of the inspection.

You can also use Parent View to find out what other parents and carers think about schools in England. You can visit www.parentview.ofsted.gov.uk, or look for the link on the main Ofsted website: www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ofsted.

The Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted) regulates and inspects to achieve excellence in the care of children and young people, and in education and skills for learners of all ages. It regulates and inspects childcare and children's social care, and inspects the Children and Family Court Advisory and Support Service (Cafcass), schools, colleges, initial teacher training, further education and skills, adult and community learning, and education and training in prisons and other secure establishments. It assesses council children's services, and inspects services for children looked after, safeguarding and child protection.

If you would like a copy of this document in a different format, such as large print or Braille, please telephone 0300 123 1231, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

You may reuse this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/, write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/ofsted.

Interested in our work? You can subscribe to our monthly newsletter for more information and updates: http://eepurl.com/iTrDn.

Piccadilly Gate Store Street Manchester M1 2WD

T: 0300 123 4234 Textphone: 0161 618 8524 E: enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk W: www.gov.uk/ofsted

© Crown copyright 2017