

inspection report

Children's Services

Moulton College

West Street
Moulton
Northampton
NN3 7RR

and the Children Act 1989 Thursday, 13th January 2005

Commission for Social Care Inspection

Launched in April 2004, the Commission for Social Care Inspection (CSCI) is the single inspectorate for social care in England.

The Commission combines the work formerly done by the Social Services Inspectorate (SSI), the SSI/Audit Commission Joint Review Team and the National Care Standards Commission.

The role of CSCI is to:

- Promote improvement in social care
- Inspect all social care for adults and children in the public, private and voluntary sectors
- Publish annual reports to Parliament on the performance of social care and on the state of the social care market
- Inspect and assess 'Value for Money' of council social services
- Hold performance statistics on social care
- Publish the 'star ratings' for council social services
- Register and inspect services against national standards
- Host the Children's Rights Director role.

Inspection Methods & Findings

SECTION B of this report summarises key findings and evidence from this inspection. The following 4-point scale is used to indicate the extent to which standards have been met or not met by placing the assessed level alongside the phrase "Standard met?"

The 4-point scale ranges from:

4 - Standard Exceeded (Commendable)
3 - Standard Met (No Shortfalls)
2 - Standard Almost Met (Minor Shortfalls)
1 - Standard Not Met (Major Shortfalls)

'O' or blank in the 'Standard met?' box denotes standard not assessed on this occasion.

'9' in the 'Standard met?' box denotes standard not applicable.

'X' is used where a percentage value or numerical value is not applicable.

TYPE OF ADDITIONAL INSPECTION VISIT

Statutory Unannounced Inspection	
Follow up of Previous Inspection	
Follow up of Enforcement Action	
Complaints Investigation	
Monitoring Visit	YES
Advisory Visit	
Other	
Specify:	

TYPE OF SERVICE INSPECTED

			T.
Children's Home			
Boarding School (not registered as a child	ren's	home)	
Residential Special School (not registered	as a	children's home)	
Further Education College			YES
Residential Family Centre			
Independent Fostering Agency			
Local Authority Fostering Service			
Voluntary Adoption Agency			
Local Authority Adoption Service			
			ID Code
Lead Inspector	1	Ms Hilary Firth	138018
Name of Further Inspector (if applicable)	2		
Name of Further Inspector (if applicable)			
Name of Further Inspector (if applicable)	4		
Name of Lay Assessors (if applicable)			
Lay assessors are members of the public			
independent of the CSCI. They accompan			
inspectors on some inspections and bring	а		
different perspective to the inspection			
process.	\ /: c		
Name of Specialist (e.g. Interpreter/Signer) applicable)) (IT		
Name of Establishment Representative at	Mike Andrews		
time of inspection		Times / times of the	
- F		<u>I</u>	
1			

Number of Inspector Days spent on site: 0.5

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE(S) OF THE VISIT
This was an additional yearly monitoring visit to review the specific areas highlighted in this inspection report.

INSPECTION METHODS USED AT THE VISIT

Inspection of relevant part(s) of premises					
Interview with senior staff member in charge	YES				
Interview with other staff	NO				
Discussion with students	NO				
Individual interview with a student	NO				
Visit foster/adoptive home	NA				
Visit lodgings					
Interview foster/adoptive parent					
Inspection of relevant records					
Inspection of relevant policy/practice documents					
Student's survey					
Parent survey					
Placing authority survey					
Foster / adoptive parent survey					
Staff survey	NO				

Date of Inspection13/01/05Time of Inspection9.30AMDuration Of Inspection3.0 HRS

FINDINGS ON KEY ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED AT ALL UNANNOUNCED, MONITORING AND FOLLOW UP VISITS

The following scale is used to indicate the extent to which standards have been met or not met by placing the assessed level alongside the phrase "Standard met?"

The scale ranges from:

4 - Standard Exceeded (Commendable)
3 - Standard Met (No Shortfalls)
2 - Standard Almost Met (Minor Shortfalls)
1 - Standard Not Met (Major Shortfalls)

[&]quot;0" in the "Standard met?" box denotes standard not assessed on this occasion.

[&]quot;9" in the "Standard met?" box denotes standard not applicable.

[&]quot;X" is used where a percentage value or numerical value is not applicable.

(I). Inspector's assessment of the extent to which the requirements for consultation with students are being met.

Key findings/Evidence

Standard met?

1

Students are able to contribute to the operation of residential provision. Each accommodation block holds a floor meeting two or three times per term and all residents are encouraged to attend. The floor meeting elects a floor representative who attends a monthly meeting with the Head of Hotel Services to discuss welfare matters concerning catering, cleaning and security.

There is also a Students Association which is similar to a Student Union but which is not affiliated to the National Union of Students, which takes account of students' views and concerns.

(II). Inspector's assessment of the extent to which the requirements for complaints procedures for students are being met.

Key findings/Evidence

Standard met?

3

The college complaints procedure is detailed in the Student Handbook. Parents are sent a copy of the procedure with the joining instructions before the start of the college year. The Student Welfare Officer keeps a record of minor complaints.

A review of complaints is a standard item at the weekly Senior Management Team meeting.

(III). Inspector's assessment of the extent of staff knowledge of the required response to allegations or suspicions of abuse of students (child protection and staff/carer reporting procedures).

Key findings/Evidence

Standard met?

3

The college has appropriate policies in relation to student protection and the Executive Deputy Principal is the designated person. The Counsellor, the Student Welfare Officer and the College Chaplain have attended a training course in Child Protection Procedures and this has been disseminated to the Warden Team and to the Medical Team. Cleaning and maintenance staff have attended a briefing on the correct procedures.

(IV). Inspector's assessment of the adequacy of staffing at the time of the visit.				
Key findings/Evidence Standard met? 3				

The staffing of the service is considered to be adequate. The Student Welfare Officer is supported by eight Assistant Wardens, five are members of the teaching staff and three are students enrolled on to top up degree courses. The Assistant Wardens work in pairs and are on duty one night per week and one weekend in four. In addition there is a Counsellor, one full time and two part time nurses and a half time chaplain.

(V).	Inspector's assessment of the extent to which any	students and st	aff/carers
Key	findings/Evidence	Standard met?	9
	college does not currently accommodate students for uired.	whom an individ	ual care plan is

FINDINGS ON SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THIS VISIT

The college did not have any recommended actions or advisory recommendations at the inspection, which took place in January 2004. They were not, therefore, required to provide an Action Plan.

FOLLOW UP OF PREVIOUS REQUIRED ACTIONS FOR UNANNOUNCED, MONITORING AND FOLLOW UP VISITS

Requirements from last Inspection visit fully actioned?	NA

If No, the findings of this inspection on any Required Actions not implemented are listed below:

REQUIRED ACTIONS					
Identified below are areas not yet addressed from the last inspection report which indicate a non-compliance with applicable Regulations or Standards under the Care Standards Act 2000.					
No.	Regulation if applicable	Standard	Required actions		
			None		

Action is being taken by the Commission for Social Care Inspection to monitor compliance with the above requirements.

Compliance with Conditions of Registration (if applicable)

for Unannounced, Monitoring and Follow up Visits

(Establishments Registered as Children's Homes or Residential Family Centres, Independent Fostering Agencies or Voluntary Adoption Agencies only)

Providers and managers of registered services must comply with statutory conditions of their registration. The conditions applying to this registration are listed below, with the inspector's assessment of compliance from the evidence at the time of this Additional Inspection Visit.

Condition		Compliance
None		
Comments		
Condition		Compliance
None		Сопірнансе
INOLIC		
Comments		
Comments		
Condition		Compliance
None		Compliance
INULIE		
Comments		
Comments		
Regulation Inspector	Hilary Firth	Signature
		·
Regulation Manager	Sue Shaw	Signature
Date		
Date		

FURTHER ISSUES RAISED OR DISCUSSED AT THIS VISIT

The following further issues, not already identified in this report, were raised with the inspector, identified by the inspector, or discussed at this visit, with the conclusions identified below.

Issues raised by students:
None
leaves raised by staff or sereral
Issues raised by staff or carers:
None
Issues raised by inspector:
The college is planning to build a residence for students with learning difficulties.

REQUIRED ACTIONS FOLLOWING THIS INSPECTION

REQUIRED ACTIONS

Identified below are the actions required following this Additional Inspection Visit, either outstanding from the previous inspection or identified subsequently or at this visit. Action is required on these areas within the given timescales in order to meet the statutory requirements under the Care Standards Act 2000, Children Act 1989, or applicable Regulations and National Minimum Standards.

No.	Regulation if applicable	Standard *	Requirement	
			None	

GOOD PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THIS INSPECTION					
Identified below are any additional areas arising from this visit which relate to the National Minimum Standards and are seen as good practice issues to be considered for implementation.					
No.	Refer to Standard *	Recommendation Action			
		None			

Note: Standard code is in respect of the relevant service; e.g. BS = Boarding School, CH = Children's Home, etc

INSPECTOR'S DECLARATION					
(where applicable)					
Landlananda	III. Eid	0			
Lead Inspector	Hilary Firth	Signature			
Date		_			
Public reports: It	should be noted th	at all CSCI inspection reports are pu	ublic		

Moulton College Page 12

documents.

PROVIDER'S RESPONSE

Registered Person's comments/confirmation relating to the content and accuracy of the report for the above inspection.

We would welcome comments on the content of this report relating to the Inspection conducted on <enter date(s) of inspection here> and any factual inaccuracies:

Please limit your comments to one side of A4 if possible				

Action taken by the CSCI in response to provider comments:

	Amendments to the report were necessary	NO		
	Comments were received from the provider	YES		
	Provider comments/factual amendments were incorporated into the final inspection report	NO		
	Provider comments are available on file at the Area Office but have not been incorporated into the final inspection report. The inspector believes the report to be factually accurate	NO		
Note: In instances where there is a major difference of view between the Inspector and the Registered Provider both views will be made available on request to the Area Office.				
Please provide the Commission with a written Action Plan by NA, which indicates how required or recommended actions and good practice recommendations are to be addressed and stating a clear timescale for completion This will be kept on file and made available on request.				
Status of the Provider's Action Plan at time of publication of the final inspection report:				
	Action plan was required			
		NO		
	Action plan was received at the point of publication	NO		
		NO		
	Action plan was received at the point of publication	NO NO		
	Action plan was received at the point of publication Action plan covers all the statutory requirements in a timely fashion Action plan did not cover all the statutory requirements and required further	NO NO		

Public reports

It should be noted that all CSCI inspection reports are public documents. Reports on children's homes are only obtainable on personal application to CSCI offices.

PROVIDER'S AGREEMENT

Registered Person's statement of agreement/comments: Please complete the relevant section that applies.

I Mr Chris Moody, Principal of Moulton College confirm that the contents of this report are a fair and accurate representation of the facts relating to the inspection conducted on the above date(s) and that I agree with the required/recommended actions made and will seek to comply with these.

	Print Name					
	Signature					
	Designation					
	Date					
Or						
I Mr Chris Moody, Principal of Moulton College am unable to confirm that the contents of this report are a fair and accurate representation of the facts relating to the inspection conducted on the above date(s) for the following reasons:						
	Print Name					
	Signature					
	Designation					
	Date					

Note: In instance where there is a profound difference of view between the Inspector and the Registered Provider both views will be reported. Please attach any extra pages, as applicable.

Commission for Social Care Inspection

33 Greycoat Street London SW1P 2QF

Telephone: 020 7979 2000

Fax: 020 7979 2111

National Enquiry Line: 0845 015 0120

www.csci.org.uk

S0000042117.V203795.R01

© This report may only be used in its entirety. Extracts may not be used or reproduced without the express permission of the Commission for Social Care Inspection

The paper used in this document is supplied from a sustainable source